AREA, HEIGHT & PLACEMENT July 8, 2010 PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO DRAFT AMENDMENTS

The proposed amendments address the major concerns expressed during the public outreach process last summer. The following is a summary of the substantive modifications that are proposed. In addition, the attached summary provides a comparison between the existing requirement and the proposed requirement.

<u>HEIGHT</u>

Restore height limitations for O, RE, ORL, and C3

•	Office:	not to exceed 55' and 4 stories (from uncapped)
•	RE:	not to exceed 55' and 4 stories (from uncapped)
•	ORL:	not to exceed 55' and 4 stories (from uncapped)
•	C3:	not to exceed 55' and 4 stories (from uncapped)

Reduce maximum height in R5 (Hotels).

• From 120' to 50' or 80' with parking below at least 35% of the building

Add restriction to height limitation in R4 districts

• The proposed 45' height limit can now only be achieved for those buildings with parking under at least 35% of a building

Reduce maximum height in the C2B (Business Service) from 60' to 55'

FLOOR AREA RATIO

Reexamine proposed increases to Retail FAR

- Reduce FAR in C1 to 100% from 200% (existing maximum: 40%)
- Reduce FAR in C1B to 150% from 200% (existing maximum: 40%)
- FAR in C3 to remain at 200% (existing maximum: 50%)

NEIGHBORHOOD IMPACTS

Reduce impacts where residential abuts non-residential

- Increase side and rear setbacks where non-residential abuts residentially zoned land from 20' to 30' wherever 20' was required
- Require additional 1 foot side and rear setback for each 1 foot of building height above 30' (e.g. a new 55' building abutting residential would need to be set back 55' from the residential property line)
- Restore the 100' setback requirement for RE (Research) for side and rear setbacks
- Proposed adding modifications to Chapter 62 (Landscaping & Screening) in the following manner: a) add multiple family uses to those uses requiring a conflicting land use buffer when abutting residential property; b) increase the number of required trees in the conflicting land use buffer from 1 tree per 20 lineal feet to 1 tree per 15 lineal feet. This change is being coordinated with Public Services (Systems Planning) which is currently pursuing amendments to Chapter 62

SETBACKS

Re-examine front setbacks

C1: increase minimum to 10' from none (existing minimum: 25')
C1B: increase minimum to 10' from none (existing minimum: 25')
C2B: reduce maximum to 25' from 40' (no existing maximum)
C3: reduce maximum to 25' from 40' (no existing maximum)
M1/M1A: reduce minimum to 15' from 25' (no existing maximum)
M2: reduce minimum to 15' from 50' (no existing maximum)

SETBACKS IN R2 DISTRICTS

Revise maximum front setbacks for R2A/R2B

Eliminate proposed maximum front setbacks

<u>OTHER</u>

Add maximum house size to R1E

 Limit the size of new homes in the R1E district to 2,000 square feet to encourage affordable housing and housing diversity

Limit residential uses in RE (Research)

 Require Special Exception Use permission for residential uses in RE. The Special Exception process requires special permission to ensure that a proposed residential use in the RE district will not create adverse conditions

Eliminate proposed amendments to the "Accessory Building" section of Chapter 55 related to the size of decks and the placement of garages

Proposed language on decks and garages is more appropriate for another amendment project

Delete language in the C1A/R district (core zoning district in Packard/State/Hill area) that pertains to rear and side yards:

 Delete the following language which discourages the development of residential buildings 5 stories or less: "When a building containing any residential uses does not exceed 5 stories in height, the total square footage of the front, rear and side yards shall not be less than 30% of the lot area". This language discouraged new construction that would be consistent in scale with existing character of Packard/State/Hill area