

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Crawford, Tom" <[TCrawford@a2gov.org](mailto:TCrawford@a2gov.org)>  
**Date:** December 19, 2012, 3:11:19 PM EST  
**To:** "Sabra Briere" <[SBriere@a2gov.org](mailto:SBriere@a2gov.org)>  
**Cc:** "Steve Powers" <[SPowers@a2gov.org](mailto:SPowers@a2gov.org)>, "Sara Higgins" <[SHiggins@a2gov.org](mailto:SHiggins@a2gov.org)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Radius

Sabra

The attached resolution is where council approved the radius art as part of the JC project. It is listed in the project budget as % for art but is and was never part of the % for art fund. It was administratively included in the JC project after the project was started. It has always been administered by the % for art folks which is where the confusion may be.

Thanks,  
Tom

**From:** Briere, Sabra  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 18, 2012 10:19 AM  
**To:** Powers, Steve  
**Subject:** Re: Radius

I've met with several members of AAPAC, all of whom believe that this was a percent for art project. They think it has been part of their accounting for the dollars. Tell me again how this decision was made - and please let me know what the current budget for %for art indicates.

Sorry for the sharpness of the tone. In a meeting now with one of the AAPAC members.

Sabra Briere  
[734-995-3518](tel:734-995-3518)  
[734-277-6578](tel:734-277-6578) cell

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 18, 2012, at 9:37 AM, "Powers, Steve" <[SPowers@a2gov.org](mailto:SPowers@a2gov.org)> wrote:  
The art was included in the municipal center project budget. From a funding perspective, the theme of the art for the justice center does not matter.

SP

**From:** Briere, Sabra  
**Sent:** Monday, December 17, 2012 2:46 PM  
**To:** Powers, Steve  
**Subject:** Re: Radius

I understood that the percent for art program was much more flexible when the art is placed as part of the funding project. So, storm water dollars would not require a storm water themed art piece if the art were part of a stormwater project. In this case, it seems the art was part of the project - so does the theme matter?

Sabra Briere  
[734-995-3518](tel:734-995-3518)  
[734-277-6578](tel:734-277-6578) cell

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 17, 2012, at 1:59 PM, "Powers, Steve" <[SPowers@a2gov.org](mailto:SPowers@a2gov.org)> wrote:

I don't think the Radius sculpture can be tied to any of the funding streams in the existing percent for art program (ie. streets, water, etc.). The Radius is an eligible expense for the Justice Center project fund because within the project the funding streams are not as restrictive as the streams that are funding the % for art program.

Steve Powers  
City Administrator  
City of Ann Arbor  
[734-794-6110](tel:734-794-6110)

-----Original Message-----

From: Briere, Sabra  
Sent: Friday, December 14, 2012 9:44 AM  
To: Powers, Steve  
Subject: Radius sculpture

Can you explain why the percent for art program cannot pay for the radius? Then the security could be moved.

Sabra Briere  
[734-995-3518](tel:734-995-3518)  
[734-277-6578](tel:734-277-6578) cell

Sent from my iPhone