Charge to the Planning Staff and Planning Commission <sup>i</sup>	Advisory Committee recommendations	Planning Commission Ordinance Review committee recommendations
Analyze zoning nonconformities related to area, height and placement regulations	<ul> <li>Allow non-conforming structures to be rebuilt within a limited time frame (18 months?) to the same massing and scale as the original</li> </ul>	Same
	<ul> <li>Alter the minimum lot size and lot width to bring more properties into conformance.</li> </ul>	• Same
	Retain the existing front, rear and side setback criteria	<ul> <li>Have varying setback criteria, depending on parcel size</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Establish new requirements for lot area / number of units. Actually reduces density allowed per acre in some situations.</li> </ul>	Same
	• Marginally changes the required number of parking spaces.	<ul> <li>Marginally changes the required number of parking spaces; requires more spaces for 4-6 bedroom units on large lots, fewer on small ones</li> </ul>
Amend the zoning ordinance and map to clearly identify areas to be maintained or encouraged as housing	None	None
Reinforce student neighborhoods in the area south and west of Central Campus by developing new zoning definitions and standards that support organized group housing opportunities	• None	<ul> <li>Establish an area adjacent to         Packard and another adjacent to         Washtenaw where cooperative         housing, fraternities and sororities         can be built without requiring a         special use permit     </li> </ul>
Develop site design standards that encourage creative design while maintaining sensitivity for existing neighborhood character	<ul> <li>Create Zoning Overlay Districts as a tool to prevent out of scale development, design that is not compatible with neighborhoods, and modification of area, height and placement standards to match the underlying neighborhood massing and scale.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Control design and massing for large parcels through (not yet drafted) lot combination standards.</li> <li>Control designs of new construction in 'group housing area' based on the type of development proposed</li> </ul>

R4C charge, recommendations

Page 1 7/8/13. Sabra Briere

Protect the livability of residentially zoned areas adjacent to downtown (not really a charge, but a statement in the resolution)	<ul> <li>Rezone Davis/Hoover from R4C to R2A to reflect the current housing pattern.</li> </ul>	• Same
Not in the charge	Advisory Committee recommendations	Planning Commission Ordinance Review committee recommendations
Address lot combination regulation:  Identify sites where the compilation of small parcels for larger developments is appropriate; otherwise, the combining of smaller parcels in subdivided residential areas is considered inappropriate.	<ul> <li>Establish a maximum lot size (6,525 square feet, sufficient for 3 units, just over the average 6000 sq. ft. for R4C lots); require that all lot combinations require planning commission approval (no longer just done as part of the assessor's office duties).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Require that all lot combinations require Planning Commission approval; condition approval of lot combinations on approval of site plan; establish standards for approval with character and scale of street block as part of those standards.</li> </ul>