# **Online Survey Executive Summary**

## Who participated?

- 36 online surveys were completed
- All participants are required to be residents of the City and registered on A2 Open City Hall (although names were not provided with the survey responses)

Ward 1: 11Ward 2: 4Ward 3: 5Ward 4: 1Ward 5: 13

Outside of wards: 2

#### What was said?

#### Intent:

- 18 respondents (69.2%) said the ordinances were not meeting the intent
- 8 respondents (30.8%) said the ordinances were meeting the intent
- Reasons that the ordinances are not meeting their intent:
  - o Buildings are too big or too tall
  - o They do not provide enough protection for historic neighborhoods
  - o They do not reflect the intent of the downtown plan
  - o They are not producing an appropriate diversity of housing
- Reasons that the ordinances are meeting their intent:
  - o They are encouraging more development and density downtown

## Positive Aspects: (number of mentions in parenthesis)

- More mixed use development is occurring downtown (6)
- The downtown is more vibrant (6)
- Higher density is occurring downtown, which is appropriate (3)
- Some felt that impacts to historic neighborhoods were minimal (3)
- Many indicated that there were no positive aspects (5)

## **Negative Aspects:**

- Buildings are too tall and/or bulky, and have negative impacts on adjacent historic resources
  (19)
- Design of new buildings does not respect adjacent properties and/or is not aesthetically pleasing
  (8)
- Historic neighborhoods do not have adequate protection (6)
- D1 and D2 districts are not located appropriately (6)

- Not producing an appropriate diversity of housing (6)
- Premiums are resulting in buildings that are too large (4)
- Design of new buildings does not fit the context of the area (3)

## **Top Priorities:**

- Make design guidelines mandatory or have more teeth (11)
- Better housing diversity (11)
- Reduce the height/bulk of buildings (9)
- More buffering/respect for historic districts and the context in which projects are developed (6)
- Encourage more services for downtown residences in new developments (such as grocery stores) (6)
- More green space, trees and parks in new developments (6)

#### **Tensions**

- Most respondents felt that the ordinances were <u>not</u> meeting their intent, but a significant percentage felt they <u>were.</u>
- Many praised the mixed use development and higher density as a positive, while many others felt the impacts of that density (height, bulk, design) were too negative on the community

## **Areas of Agreement**

- More diverse housing is needed
- The design of new buildings, especially with respect to adjacent historic neighborhoods, should be improved