Approved,	SCAO
WINDLOADS.	سادب

Original - Court 1st copy - Defendant

2nd copy - Plaintiff 3rd copy - Return

JP 3	- 175m	111		
ñ	NO			

STATE O	F MICHIGAN
---------	------------

CAS

al	
	1

22nd JUDICIAL C				13-10	34	
COUNTY PRO	OBATE			David S	Swartz	
Court address					Court telepho	one no
202 E. Huron, Ann Arbor MI48103	3		•	(734) 23	22-3270	
Plaintiffs name(s), address(es), and t DAVID H. RAAFLAUB P29975 432 Ainsworth Circle Ypsilanti, MI 48197 734 695 1085	telephone no(s).	V		address(es), and teleph UNTY BOARD OF (NERS
Plaintiffs attorney, bar no., address, a PRO SE	and telephone no.					
			1.			
			1	•		
						
 YOU HAVE 21 DAYS after reportake other lawful action v If you do not answer or take on the complaint. 	with the court (28 days if you v	were serve	ed by mail or you wen	e served outside this:	state). (MCR:	2,111[0
Issued T	This summons expires	Court clerk		OT COLEMN SAN		
*This summons is invalid unless serve	ed on or before its expiration date. This document must b		the seal of the court.		2 014	73 71 71.
COMPLAINT Instruction: The	e following is information that	is required	l to be in the caption	of every complaint an	d is to be cor	mpiete
by the plaintiff. Actual allegation	ons and the claim for relief mu	ust be stat	ed on additional cor	mplaint pages and at	tached to th	is for
Family Division Cases				동물으롱증	<u>.</u> 5	13
There is no other pending or members of the parties.	resolved action within the juris	diction of	the family division of	circuitcourtinvolving) the family o	orfami
An action within the jurisdicti	ion of the family division of the	e circuit co	urt involving the fan	nily or family member	rs of the part	
The action remains	\square is no longer pending.	The dock	et number and the	judge assigned to th	e action are):
Docket no.	Judge				Barno).
						
General Civil Cases ☑ There is no other pending or ☐ A civil action between these been previously filed in	parties or other parties arisi					int ha
The action remains	is no longer pending.	The dock	et number and the	judge assigned to th	e action are):
Docket no.	Judge				Bar no).
VENUE					, <u>e, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	

OET 16,2013

City od Ann Arbor, MI

Plaintiff(s) residence (include city, township, or village) Ypsilanti MI

Place where action arose or business conducted

Signature of attorney/plaintif

Defendant(s) residence (include city, township, or village) City of Ann Arbor, County of Washtenaw

If you require special accommodations to use the court because of a disability or if you require a foreign language interpreter to help you fully participate in court proceedings, please contact the court immediately to make arrangements.

STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE WASHTENAW CIRCUIT COURT

DAVID H. RAAFLAUB,

13-1034

ア<u>ス</u>

Plaintiff,

David S Swartz

V.

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS,

Defendant.

David H. Raaflaub, JD, P29975, Plaintiff, <u>pro se</u> 432 Ainsworth Circle Ypsilanti, MI 48197 734 695 1085 QCT 2 1 2013
Washtenaw County
Clark/Register

Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners, Defendant 220 N. Main Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104 734 222 6850

There is no other similar or same case now or ever pending in this or another court.

APPLICATION AND COMPLAINT FOR WRITS OF QUO WARRANTO AND PROHIBITO

Plaintiff demands to know by what authority Defendant has to enact the following resolution on October 16, 2013:

A RESOLUTION CALLING FOR THE REPEAL OF MICHIGAN'S STAND YOUR GROUND LAW AND FOR STRENGTHENING OF FIREARM REGULATIONS

OCTOBER 16, 2013

WHEREAS, Stand Your Ground laws threaten to lead to unnecessary use of deadly force by eliminating the common-law duty to retreat and break off a confrontation where that can be accomplished with reasonable safety; and

WHEREAS, research by the Urban Institute suggests a troubling pattern of racial disparity in the application of Stand Your Ground laws in states with those laws; and

WHEREAS, research by Texas A&M shows that after state passes Stand Your Ground measures, they saw no drop in robberies, burglaries or aggravated assaults. Instead, an increase in murders was observed; and

WHEREAS, in a Tampa Bay Times review of 192 cases of deaths in which the Florida Stand Your Ground law led to the release of the killer, 70% of the victims were unarmed; and

WHEREAS, in 2006, Michigan passed Public Act 309, the Michigan Self Defense Act, which mirrors the Stand Your Ground laws of other states; and

WHEREAS, Public Act 309 of 2006 effectively eliminated Michigan's long standing common law necessity requirement for lawful use of deadly force; and

WHEREAS, the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners believes that Public Act 309 of 2006 can place an unreasonable burden on prosecutors to overcome the presumption that a defendant claiming self defense acted upon a reasonable and honest belief of imminent death, great bodily harm, or sexual assault, especially in cases in which the only witness who could rebut the presumption is dead; and

WHEREAS, Public Act 319 of 1990, the Firearms and Ammunition Act, impedes local units of government from protecting the public health, safety and welfare by preventing the establishment of reasonable local regulations concerning the sale, transfer, transportation or possession of firearms and ammunition;

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners calls upon the Michigan State Legislature and Governor Snyder to repeal Public Act 309 of 2006 and Public Act 319 of 1990; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners call upon the Michigan State Legislature to adopt common-sense gun regulations such as improved background checks, strengthened gun-free zones, and limits on the sale of high-capacity magazines; and

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, this resolution shall be transmitted to Governor Rick Snyder, Senate Majority Leader Randy Richardville, State Senator Rebekah Warren, Speaker of the House Jase Bolger, State Representatives Jeff Irwin and Adam Zemke, and to members of the Michigan House and Senate Judiciary Committees.

By what authority does the Board able to draw conclusions of law?

By what authority does the Board have to represent Washtenaw County in seeking change in State Law.

Wherefore Plaintiff demands a Writ of Quo Warranto and Prohibito directed to the Defendant.

Dated: October 15, 2013

David H. Raaflaub

Plaintiff