ANN ARBOR DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY MEETING AGENDA June 3, 2009 12:00 noon DDA Offices, 150 S. Fifth Avenue, Ann Arbor, MI 48104

1. Roll Call

Gary Boren, Russ Collins, Rene Greff, Leah Gunn, Jennifer Hall, Roger Hewitt, John Hieftje, Joan Lowenstein, John Mouat, Keith Orr, Sandi Smith, John Splitt

2. Audience Participation (4 people maximum, 4 minutes each)

3. Reports from City Boards and Commissions

· Ray Detter, Downtown Area Citizens Advisory Council

4. Presentations

· Amber Miller, transportation hubs

5. Status of Taskforce Efforts with DDA Membership

- · A2D2 Steering Committee
- · 415 W. Washington Selection Committee
- Committee to Discuss a Mutually Beneficial Financial Agreement with City Council

6. Approval of Minutes

· May 6, 2009

7. Subcommittee Reports

- a. Transportation Committee John Mouat, Chair
- Resolution to Increase DDA Funding for the Connector Study from \$50,000 to \$80,000
- Resolution to Approve a \$12,000 Grant for a getDowntown Evaluation
- Discussions about the LINK
- Next Committee meeting: Wednesday, June 24 at 9am

b. Operations Committee - Roger Hewitt, Chair

- Resolution to amend the DDA 2008/09 budget
- Transition to demand management status
- Parking income comparisons
- Next Committee meeting: Wednesday, June 24 at 11am

c. Capital Improvements Committee - John Splitt, Chair

- · S. Fifth Avenue parking structure status
- Fifth & Division status
- · Wayfinding status
- Next Committee meeting: Wednesday, June 10 at 11am

d. Partnerships Committee - Sandi Smith and Russ Collins, Co-Chairs

- Energy saving grant program status
- DDA website updates and data policy
- Developing a plan to encourage future downtown redevelopment
- · Next Committee meeting: Wednesday, June 10 at 9am

8. Other DDA business matters

· Resolution to Recommend Final A2D2 Changes In Response to Building Height Limits

9. Other Audience Participation (4 minutes each)

10. Adjournment

ANN ARBOR DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY MEETING MINUTES May 6, 2009

Place:	DDA Office, 150 S. Fifth Avenue, Suite 301, Ann Arbor, 48104
Time:	DDA Chair Jennifer Hall called the meeting to order at 12:05 p.m.

1.	ROLL CALL
Present:	Gary Boren, Russ Collins, Rene Greff, Leah Gunn, Jennifer Hall, Roger Hewitt, John Hieftje, Joan Lowenstein, John Mouat, Keith Orr, Sandi Smith, John Splitt
Absent:	None
Staff Present:	Susan Pollay, Executive Director Joe Morehouse, Deputy Director Joan Lyke, Management Assistant
Audience:	Peter Allen, Peter Allen & Associates Dave Askins, Ann Arbor Chronicle Mike Bergren, City of Ann Arbor Pat Cawley, City of Ann Arbor Bob Dascola, Downtown Business Owner Ray Detter, Downtown Area Citizens Advisory Council Kevin Eyer, City of Ann Arbor Tyler Erickson, Citizen Ray Fullerton, Downtown Area Citizens Advisory Council Trek Glowachi, Nerds Adrian Iraola, Park Avenue Consultants J. Aaron Jacobson, Self John Kang, Ross Business School Mike Kessler, Workantile Exchange, LLC Mark Lyons, Republic Parking System Kyle Mazurek, Ann Arbor Chamber of Commerce Tom McMurtrie, City of Ann Arbor Stephanie Murray, Ann Arbor News Ethel Potts, Self Connie Pulcipher, City of Ann Arbor Ellie Serras, MSAA Biz Nancy Shore, getDowntown Eli Slack, Self Mary Thiefels, Tree Town Murals Maura Thomson, MSAA William Toziek, Vague Innovation, LLC Brahm Wondeler, Self

2.

AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION

Ms. Serras, Ms. Thiefels, Ms. Thomson and Mr. Dascola presented a proposal for an art project, and asked the DDA to extend its 2007 resolution that set aside funds from the 4th & William project for public art in the structure to allow them more time to continue their discussion with the Ann Arbor Public Arts Commission, as the Commission had shown an interest in their project but was unable to come to resolution. Hall referred this issue to the Partnerships Committee as the 2007 resolution had been sponsored by the former DDA ROC committee.

Mr. Cooper said that the cost of the Connector is more expensive than anticipated. AATA would be providing \$320,000 for the study using federal Stimulus funding; the UM will provide \$160,000, and the City & DDA will each

be asked to provide \$80,000 for a total \$640,000. The study will examine the feasibility of installing a transportation connection between the UM East Medical Campus, Pfizer campus, North campus, Medical campus, Central Campus, downtown, and Briarwood/Athletic campus areas.

Ms. Shore reported that the 2009 getDowntown Commuter Challenge is off to a great start, with more people and companies participating than ever before. This month-long event provides her with valuable new employee connections and inspires many people to try alternative transportation choices which can translate to long-term commuting changes. The Zipcar program is going very well and the DDA may soon be asked to increase the number of cars it sponsors.

Mr. Glowachi said that he was a soft-ware developer, doesn't own a car and doesn't park downtown but he felt that the DDA blocking parking data access creates bad feelings with local technology groups and is an affront on the societal level. Ms. Greff reported that access was readily made available months ago; Ms. Pollay asked to meet with Mr. Glowachi to learn more about why he felt access was still being blocked.

3.

REPORTS FROM CITY BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

<u>Downtown Area Citizens Advisory Council</u>: Mr. Detter extended an invitation to the Old Fourth Ward & Downtown Neighbors Spring Potluck Party, 120 & 126 N. Division, on June 4th. He said that CAC is concerned about negative conditions at Courthouse Square Apartments as reported by residents, and will attempt to help as possible. The CAC does not believe the City should use the DDA parking funds to balance its budget; these funds should be used to sustain and improve the quality of life downtown. CAC opposes extending meters into the residential areas. The CAC will continue to follow the A2D2 project.

4.

PRESENTATIONS

Ms. Pulcipher presented a handout of the new Citizen Participation Ordinance Guide for PUDs, Planned Projects, Rezonings and Major Site Plans. The guide was created by the Planning Unit to help developers meet the ordinance requirements for citizen participation. Mr. Eyre provided a demonstration of a new web program developed in partnership with the Planning Unit and IT Staff which allows citizens to track development projects through the city system. Citizens may sign up to receive an e-mail alert whenever a new development is proposed; they can click on a live map to see where the project is and how it relates to the surrounding area and they can attend meetings related to the project(s). The new technology does not replace public hearing notices via regular mail but it is more convenient and up to date. Ms. Pulcipher said in the future the Planning unit may use other technologies for notifying citizens about projects such as Facebook and/or Tweeter.

Mr. McMurtrie stated the City is going to replace 75 trash cans with receptacles that work with the automated solid waste trucks, with 50 placed in city parks and 25 placed downtown. He understood the concerns about the automated cans being lighter and thus more likely to be tipped over. Mr. Collins asked why the DDA was being informed about this; Mr. McMurtrie said that he welcomed DDA comments. Mr. Collins thanked him for allowing this involvement, saying that "this was really nice."

Mr. Bergren presented an overview of two revenue-generating ideas that were included in the FY 2009/10 and FY 2010/11 City budgets. One was a new permit for commercial vehicles that use the loading zones. The cost of the permits would depend on the delivery area(s) requested. The second idea was to install new parking meters in the close-in residential areas just outside the DDA District, near the hospital, and in areas such as on Depot and Wall Streets where there is commuter parking taking place. Mr. Bergren said that it was estimated that \$380,000 would be generated for the city from these meters.

5.

STATUS OF CITY TASKFORCE EFFORTS WITH DDA MEMBERSHIP

<u>A2D2 Steering Committee</u>: Mr. Hewitt reported that City Council will soon consider amendments to the Downtown Plan which were proposed by the Planning Commission to incorporate the vision and recommendations of the A2D2 initiative into the master plan. Following that, City Council is scheduled to consider the A2D2 zoning

amendments. The A2D2 Steering Committee and the Planning Commission will continue working on the urban design guidelines and a design review process for new downtown development, with public review of these guidelines tentatively scheduled for fall.

<u>415 W. Washington:</u> Mr. Mouat said this item has been placed on hold while City Staff has focused on the Budget process. He expects staff to resume working on this item after the City budget is approved.

Mutually Beneficial Agreement Committee: Ms. Greff stated that the committee did not meet this month.

MINUTES

Ms. Gunn moved and Mr. Orr seconded approval of the April 1, 2009 DDA meeting minutes.

A vote on the motion to approve the minutes showed:

AYES: Boren, Collins, Greff, Gunn, Hall, Hewitt, Hieftje, Lowenstein, Mouat, Orr, Smith, Splitt NAYS: None Absent: None The motion carried.

7.

6.

PARTNERSHIPS COMMITTEE

<u>Energy Audits:</u> Ms. Smith shared the most recent energy audit summary prepared by Mr. Konkle. The first audit of a large building (First National Bank Building) was received.

<u>Mid-year Retreat</u>: The retreat will take place May 20th on the Michigan Theater stage. The first half will focus on the DDA mission and parking agreement. The second half will showcase project ideas that could be undertaken in downtown Ann Arbor.

<u>DDA Percent for the Arts:</u> Ms. Smith said the Committee explored the idea of redirecting DDA Percent for the Arts funds to downtown performing arts buildings to help address maintenance concerns as a way to help them withstand the current economic situation. There appeared to be no interest in this concept; rather the committee may explore other ideas such as paying for energy saving improvements.

DDA website: Ms. Smith reported that the Committee is reviewing website content and structure.

<u>Sidewalk recycle containers</u>: Ms. Smith said that the DDA provided funding last year for a pilot program. At the time containers weren't readily available through catalogues so a local dumpster firm designed the 9 units that were installed. There is an interest in increasing the number of containers, and the committee will discuss the possibility of a design competition to create more attractive and unique containers as well as the possibility of purchasing through a catalogue, before bringing a funding request to the DDA.

8.

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

Mr. Mouat said that the Committee held a moveable meeting which began with a LINK ride followed by a walk back to the office and finished with a discussion about the DDA's role with "shared transportations" and "personal transportations." Committee observations included the lack of information at LINK stops, the number of women using the LINK, and the significant demographic change on the LINK in the "town" and "gown" parts of downtown. Other observations included: the more people and activity, the less one notices if downtown looks dirty, the LINK use connecting Oxford Housing to central campus was very clear – less clear is the benefit to making the full large circuit and perhaps the route should be broken into two. Mr. Mouat invited all DDA members to a special meeting to discuss the LINK, May 7, 11 am.

OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

<u>Transition to Demand Management</u>: Mr. Hewitt reported that the pilot Maynard valet program is still being monitored, the pilot AVI program is now in its third month, the multi-space pay stations are delayed again due to the backlog demand for solar panels meanwhile the parking space marker design is being tweaked, and the new customer service telephone service is now in its second month.

<u>Financial statements</u>: Mr. Hewitt reported that TIF expenses are over budget due to the unbudgeted grant to the City for the court/police building, direct parking expenses is over budget due to additional staff time for TDM efforts, parking revenues are nearly equal to budget.

<u>Meter bag fee waivers</u>: Mr. Hewitt said that staff had been asked to provide a report which showed that the DDA provided \$152,115 in meter bag fee waivers in the past year. Approximately 1/3 of this was provided to support Art Fair, another large amount went toward bagging meters on football Saturdays to accommodate game traffic, as well as waivers for nonprofits.

<u>Official parking placards</u>: Mr. Hewitt said that effective September 1st the Committee has decided to terminate use of a little known "official parking placard" program inherited many years ago from the city. Current users will be provided with the option to receive prepaid stored value parking meter cards with a token value of \$5 which can be reloaded with additional value at the Republic Parking office.

<u>Grant approvals</u>: Mr. Hewitt said that the committee was considering asking that all grants recommended by other DDA committees should first be reviewed by the Operations Committee before the full DDA would consider them, or alternately that the budget should be organized to assign funds to each committee and any additional dollars recommended by a committee would have to be reviewed first by the Operations committee. Ms. Greff said that she though this concept gave more power to one committee over the others and that every DDA member should consider the impacts to the Ten Year Plan before approving large grants. Ms. Gunn said she agreed with Mr. Hewitt that Operations Committee should review grant recommendations from the other committees. Ms. Greff said that this would be an abrupt change in DDA procedures and culture to empower one committee over the others. Mr. Collins said that he understood the issue under debate was that grants were approved that had not been budgeted so maybe it would be a good idea to give each committee a budgeted amount for grants. Ms. Hall said she thought that large projects had as much if not more impact than grants. Ms. Smith indicated she did not see the problem that would prompt such a change in the grant approval process.

7.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS COMMITTEE

<u>Wayfinding</u>: Mr. Splitt reported that the project is out to bid and responses are due shortly. The committee will bring a resolution to the May 20th retreat for contractor approval. Interest is strong and we hope for good prices.

5th & Division: Mr. Splitt said that a city staff member recently provided an additional set of comments on the construction drawings which Beckett & Raeder will incorporate. A meeting will be held this evening at the Michigan Union to provide interested residents/businesses on Division Street information about construction this summer. This meeting is being held at the Michigan Union because the UM will also be providing information on its new parking structure construction on Division Street that has already begun and the City will provide information on their street resurfacing project on Packard in August including an area adjacent to Division Street. It is anticipated that the 5th & Division project will be out to bid by the end of May.

<u>S. Fifth Avenue Parking Structure Project</u>: Design detailing continues and the committee will be updated at its next meeting. The environmental soils report came back clean; Mr. Iraola will apply for administrative approvals from the City to transport soils to the airport. Discussions regarding infrastructure relocation continue with DTE, AT & T and Comcast. Utility easement descriptions have been developed. In coordination with the City's resurfacing work on E. Liberty Street, installation of the conduit work by DTE at 5th and Liberty is scheduled to begin tomorrow.

OTHER DDA BUSINESS MATTERS

<u>City Parking Meters:</u> Ms. Hall brought back for discussion the matter of the City including in its budget an assumption of new parking meter revenues. She thanked Mr. Bergren and Mr. Cawley for their assistance during this discussion. Mr. Bergren said that the plan for the City to install new parking meters is a proposal and there is still time for discussion before the City Council votes on this in two weeks.

Ms. Smith expressed concern that this plan shows meters installed in residential areas and that the revenue projections may be too high given what she had seen when meters were installed on N. Ashley and N. First Street where commuters simply parked elsewhere rather than pay to park at these meters.

Mr. Bergren was asked if the city would use parking meters or kiosks; he said they planned to use parking meters, possibly buying them from the DDA when they are being removed for pay-by-space kiosks. Mr. Boren asked if the City would be asking the DDA to manage these additional meters; Mr. Bergren said that this hadn't been determined yet. Mr. Boren said that he thought that the City and DDA should discuss this question along with other parking-related issues. Ms. Hall asked how parking enforcement would work since the proposed budget would eliminate most of the city's parking enforcement positions and these meters would greatly expand the area enforcement would be needed; Mr. Bergren indicated that he wasn't able to address this question.

Ms. Smith stated that adding meters in residential areas where there is free parking now will likely just push parking out further into the neighborhoods. Ms. Greff said the issue of dual management of parking meters was complicated. She said that she was grateful that the City would not be adding meters within the DDA District, but that Nelson/Nygaard set forward a recommendation that all public parking should be managed by the DDA. Mr. Mouat asked if there was a way for the DDA to discuss this with the City. Ms. Greff said that the City had not appointed its ad hoc "mutually beneficial" committee yet. Mr. Hieftje said that City Council is not planning on appointing its committee before the budget is approved.

Ms. Lowenstein said that the Executive Committee discussed the matter and drafted a resolution to help the DDA frame its thoughts on the idea. Ms. Lowenstein moved and Mr. Splitt seconded the following resolution:

RESOLUTION REQUESTING THAT CITY COUNCIL RECONSIDER THE ACTION OF ADDING PUBLIC PARKING METERS AS PART OF ITS 2009/10 AND 2010/11 BUDGETS

Whereas, The A2DDA has managed the off-street public parking system since 1992 and the on-street metered parking system since 2002 for a combined public parking system of approximately 7,100 parking spaces;

Whereas, The DDA and City work together to ensure the public benefit from this parking system under the 2002 Parking Agreement;

Whereas, It has been proposed that the City's 2009/10 and 2010/11 budgets include a \$380,000 figure for new revenues to be received by the City from new parking meters that will be installed in locations adjacent to DDA-managed meters, as well as in distant locations such as on Washtenaw;

Whereas, As managers of the public parking system the DDA is skeptical that the City will in fact generate \$380,000 (net of expenses) from the new meters;

Whereas, Dual management of two different on-street meter systems will be confusing and a duplication of services for the public and counter to Nelson/Nygaard's recommendation that all public parking be provided by the DDA to meet the goals of demand management;

RESOLVED, The DDA asks that the City reconsider the plan to install many dozens of new parking meters as part of its 2009/10 and 2010/11 budget approvals;

RESOLVED, The DDA suggests that this would be an excellent topic of discussion for the "Mutually Beneficial"

subcommittees of DDA and City to discuss when they meet to discuss the January 2009 City Council resolution requesting that the DDA engage in a dialogue with the City to determine mutually beneficial opportunities to direct new funds to the City.

Ms. Smith said that she supported this resolution. She said that she was skeptical of the revenue projections included in the proposed city budget and felt that this topic should be part of a larger discussion between the City and the DDA about parking. She said that she felt that the parking system needs to be managed as an organic system rather than in bits and parts. She said she also would favor residential permits rather than the installation of parking meters in the residential areas.

Ms. Lowenstein said that she also thought the parking system should be operated as whole system. The DDA is piloting a project to move away from meters toward kiosks and pay-by-space system. The City's plan seems contrary to the DDA plan. This issue deserves discussion before the City moves forward with the plan as proposed in the budget.

Mr. Boren said he had some concerns with regard to the legality of the proposal to add meters given the current parking contract between the City and DDA. Ms. Lowenstein proposed an amendment that was considered as friendly to add the words, "by contract" to the first Whereas. She also proposed a Resolved clause that the "DDA would add this item to its midyear retreat agenda for discussion," which was also considered as friendly.

Ms. Greff asked what the Board hoped to achieve by the amendments to the resolution. Mr. Collins stated he would support the resolution but he didn't feel it would make a difference. Mr. Hieftje requested the item be assigned to Partnerships for further discussion. Mr. Orr suggested the item should be discussed by the City and DDA Mutually Beneficial committees; Ms. Greff agreed, saying that this item should be considered as part of the larger parking discussions between the City and the DDA. Ms. Gunn expressed concern with the benign nature of the resolution.

Mr. Hieftje asked that the final resolved clause direct this topic to the DDA Partnerships Committee rather than the Mutually Beneficial Committee, as Partnerships also has City Council members participating. This was considered to be a friendly amendment.

Ms. Hall said she was disappointed the Mutually Beneficial Committee was excluded; Ms. Greff expressed disappointment that City Council directed the DDA to begin a dialogue about parking revenues in January and many months later still had not appointed its committee to begin this discussion.

The amended resolution is as follows:

Resolution Requesting that City Council Reconsider the Action of Adding Public Parking Meters as Part of its 2009/10 and 2010/11 Budgets

Whereas, The A2DDA has managed the off-street public parking system by contract since 1992 and the on-street metered parking system since 2002 for a combined public parking system of approximately 7,100 parking spaces;

Whereas, The DDA and City work together to ensure the public benefit from this parking system under the 2002 Parking Agreement;

Whereas, It has been proposed that the City's 2009/10 and 2010/11 budgets include a \$380,000 figure for new revenues to be received by the City from new parking meters that will be installed in locations adjacent to DDA-managed meters, as well as in distant locations such as Washtenaw;

Whereas, As managers of the public parking system the DDA is skeptical that the City will in fact generate \$380,000 (net of expenses) from the new meters;

Whereas, Dual management of two different on-street meter systems will be confusing and a duplication of services for the public and counter to Nelson/Nygaard's recommendation that all public parking be provided by the DDA to meet the goals of demand management;

RESOLVED, The DDA asks that the City reconsider the plan to install many dozens of new parking meters as part of its 2009/10 and 2010/11 budget approvals;

RESOLVED, The DDA suggests that this would be an excellent topic of discussion for the Partnerships Committee.

RESOLVED, The DDA will add this matter to its midyear retreat agenda for discussion, and will make a recommendation about this at an upcoming DDA meeting.

A vote to approve the resolution as amended showed:

AYES:Boren, Collins, Greff, Gunn, Hall, Hewitt, Hieftje, Lowenstein, Mouat, Orr, Smith, SplittNAYS:NoneAbsent:NoneThe motion carried.

Mr. Hieftje reported that the Police Chief will be presenting information about how parking enforcement will be handled in light of the elimination of many community standards positions in the upcoming budgets. He will also present information to the next Mayor's Downtown Marketing Task Force meeting on how the downtown may be impacted by the elimination of the downtown beat officers.

Mr. Hieftje announced that SEMCOG anticipates opening the East-West Commuter Rail service October 23, 2010. The North-South rail discussions continue.

Ms. Smith stated that the recently approved Transportation Plan related to WALLY listed the LINK as a possible shuttle connector. More details to follow.

11.

None.

OTHER AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION

12.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Hewitt moved and Mr. Splitt seconded adjournment of the meeting at 2:20 PM.

Respectfully submitted, Susan Pollay, Executive Director

RESOLUTION TO INCREASE THE DDA'S CONTRIBUTION TO THE ANN ARBOR CONNECTOR ALTERNATIVES STUDY FROM \$50,000 TO \$80,000

Whereas, In July 2008 the DDA approved a resolution providing \$50,000 to support the Ann Arbor Connector Study which will look at the feasibility of implementing a Connector as proposed in the City of Ann Arbor's Model for Mobility, which would connect to the future east/west and north/south commuter rail stations as well as the various UM campuses, downtown and Briarwood area;

Whereas, This project has been proposed as a partnership between AATA, UM, City and DDA;

Whereas, An RFP was distributed for this study and the lowest responsible response was provided by URS at a total cost of \$640,000;

Whereas, AATA has received federal grant dollars and has agreed to increase their financial commitment to this study, as has the University as follows:

	Previous Commitment	Requested Commitment
AATA	\$100,000	\$320,000 (confirmed)
UM	\$50,000	\$160,000 (confirmed)
City of Ann Arbor	\$50,000	\$80,000
DDA	\$50,000	\$80,000

Whereas The Transportation Committee recommends DDA approval of an additional \$30,000 for this study, for a total of \$80,000;

RESOLVED, The DDA will provide an additional \$30,000 for a total grant of \$80,000 to support the Ann Arbor Connector Alternatives Study in partnership with the AATA, UM, and City of Ann Arbor;

RESOLVED, That these grant funds will be taken from 063 Parking Fund Grants, with \$50,000 to be spent in FY 09/10 and \$30,000 in FY 10/11.

Res Downtown Connector Study 060309.doc June 3, 2009

From Eli Cooper:

Ann Arbor Connector Feasibility Study Key Elements: What will the feasibility study to provide us?

It is a technical transit and transportation analysis of two corridors reaching from the downtown. One corridor will extend from Downtown to the US23 and Plymouth Road interchange area, along the Fuller/Plymouth Road. Analysis will also be conducted to the south from the Downtown along State Street to the interchange with I-94.

The analysis will include the development and analysis of several initial transit alternatives representing a broad universe of potential mode and alignments. Alternatives studied will include modal options, alignment options, operational options, alternative termini and station/stop options. The options to be explored include a transportation system management option and up to five fixed guideway options. The key deliverables are outlined below.

Key Deliverables:

1. Purpose and Need Statement

 Identification of existing and future system deficiencies, service gaps and desired levels of service at both the corridor and regional level. A statement of Purpose and Need provides the basis for proceeding with an investment.

2. Fatal Flaw Analysis

Analysis of each alternative to assure they can respond to each critical issue outlined below:

- Is each alternative consistent with the adjacent environment?
- Is there sufficient right-of-way available or will significant property acquisition/displacement be required?
- Does the alternative serve the transit market?
- Is the mode consistent with anticipated demand?
- Is the route/alignment appropriate for the proposed mode?

3. Ridership and Travel Demand forecasting

Detailed modeling and travel forecasting providing a basis to evaluate the study alternatives will be undertaken. Specific travel markets will be evaluated and considered as part of the study. They include:

- City of Ann Arbor
 - o Residents
 - \circ Commuters
 - o Employment
- University of Michigan
 - o Inter-campus
 - o Intra-campus
 - \circ Medical
- Activity Center to Activity Center person trips by mode.
 - Summary model run tabulations
 - Regional VMT
 - o District to district trips
 - Directional transit passenger volumes
 - o v/c ratios on roadways
 - o mode of access to transit stations

4. Capital and operating cost estimates

The feasibility study will provide generalized capital and operating cost estimates based on concept design characteristics for each alternative. The estimates will provide a basis to compare the alternatives fairly and provide the community an order of magnitude for each alternative.

5. ECONOMIC IMPACTS

The Study will provide a market analysis, considering land use, zoning and transit investment. The following areas will be included in the economic impact analysis.

- Potential new development
- Retail purchasing
- Downtown employee retention
- Attraction of new business
- Improved convention/tourist business
- Housing impacts
- Increased hospitality investment
- University related activities

RESOLUTION TO PROVIDE \$12,000 FOR AN EVALUATION OF THE GETDOWNTOWN PROGRAM

Whereas, The getDowntown program was established in 1999 as a partnership between the DDA, Chamber, AATA, and City, and in the past decade the program has worked to encourage the use of transit, bicycling, walking, carpools and other transportation alternatives to single-passenger automobile commuting;

Whereas, There is an interest in evaluating current downtown employee commuting behavior as compared against the findings from previous studies to determine how visible the getDowntown program has become, and the impact of various getDowntown programs and special events to help affect commuting choices;

Whereas, This study will also reveal important information about transportation needs that can be used by the DDA as it assesses investment in various transportation programs;

Whereas, The cost for this study will be \$24,000 and the DDA has been asked to pay for 50% of this cost or \$12,000;

Whereas, The DDA Transportation Committee reviewed this request and determined that it would be a valuable use of DDA dollars and recommends approval of this grant by the DDA;

RESOLVED, The DDA approves a grant in the amount of \$12,000 to the getDowntown Program to help fund a comprehensive evaluation of the getDowntown program.

Res Grant for 2009 getDowntown Evaluation 060309.doc June 3, 2009

RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE REVISED DDA BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008/2009

Whereas, The DDA Board passed a FY 2008/09 budget for the DDA on February 6, 2008;

Whereas, The DDA Board has approved items not anticipated in its FY 2008/09 budget, thus necessitating a budget revision so that expenditures will not have exceeded the budget;

Whereas, The Operations Committee recommends approval of this budget revision by the DDA;

RESOLVED, The DDA approves this amendment to its FY 2008/09 budget.

ResbudgetrevisionFY09 June 3, 2009

FY 2008/09 Budget Revision

Agency: 003 Downtown Development Authority

Fund: Combined All Funds

Combined All Funds							
		Combined					
		003 & 030	001	063	065		2008/09
		2008/09	2008/09	2008/09	2008/09		All Funds
Income		TIF	Housing	Parking	Parking Maint.	Adjustments	Combined
DDA Taxes		\$3,870,539					\$3,870,539
Parking Revenues	—	φ <u></u> 3,070,339					\$3,070,339
Structures	_			\$8,427,204			\$8,427,204
Surface Lots	_			\$1,937,416			\$1,937,416
Meters	—			\$2,378,884			\$2,378,884
Meter Bags	_			\$532,992			\$532,992
	Total Parking			\$13,276,496			\$13,276,496
Transfers from Other Funds			\$200,000		\$2,093,605	(\$2,293,605)	\$C
Interest Income	—	\$146,000	\$30,721	\$163,288	\$37,604	(\$2,293,003)	\$377,613
Miscellaneous Income	_	\$81,340	ψ 0 0,721	\$0	ψ07,00 4		\$81,340
		\$01,010		ψu			φ01,010
	Total Income	\$4,097,879	\$230,721	\$13,439,784	\$2,131,209	(\$2,293,605)	\$17,605,988
<u>Expenses</u>							
Salaries		\$99,877		\$140,000			\$239,877
Fringe Benefits	—	\$51,203		\$56,095			\$107,297
Administrative Expenses		\$155,317	\$3,000	\$200,588	\$2,500		\$361,404
•	Administration	\$306,396	\$3,000	\$396,682	\$2,500		\$708,579
Professional Services	_	\$465,000	\$2,500	\$130,000	\$800.000		\$1,397,500
Insurance	—	\$34,147	φ2,300	\$70,000	\$000,000		\$104.147
Parking Expenses	_	ψ0+, 1+7		φ/ 0,000			ψτοτ, ττ <i>ι</i>
Direct Parking Expense	s						\$0
Republic Expenses				\$5,048,565			\$5,048,565
Parking Facilty Rei				\$545,000			\$545,000
City Payments	_			\$2,765,000			\$2,765,000
Utilities	_			\$385,000			\$385,000
Parking Maintenance					\$300,000		\$300,000
Total Park	king Expenses			\$8,743,565	\$300,000		\$9,043,565
TIF Maintenance		\$200,000					\$200,000
Transfers and Grants							
Interfund Transfers		\$200,000		\$2,093,605		(\$2,293,605)	\$0
Alternative Transportation	on Grants			\$650,000			\$650,000
YMCA Purchase	_	\$50,000					\$50,000
Liberty Lofts	_	\$300,000					\$300,000
Court Police Facility & L	EED Cert.	\$706,000					
Other Grants	_	\$244,000	\$90,000				\$334,000
Total Transfe	ers and Grants	\$1,500,000	\$90,000	\$2,743,605		(\$2,293,605)	\$2,040,000
Capital Costs	_	\$865,000			\$2,400,000		\$3,265,000
Bond Payments		\$1,374,727		\$3,320,077			\$4,694,804
То	tal Expenses	\$4,745,270	\$95,500	\$15,403,930	\$3,502,500	(\$2,293,605)	\$21,453,595
Excess of Revenues Over E	Expenses	(\$647,391)	\$135,221	(\$1,964,146)	(\$1,371,291)	\$0	(\$3,847,607
Estimated Beginning Fund	Balance	\$6,032,814	\$1,467,172	\$8,168,015	\$1,609,821		\$17,277,822
Estimated Budgeted Ending	g Fund Bal.	\$5,385,423	\$1,602,393	\$6,203,869	\$238,530		\$13,430,215
-		×				•	

Agency: 003 Downtown Development Authority Fund: 003 TIF

Fund:	003 TIF					
			1st Nine	Approved	Recommended	
		2007/08	Months of	2008/09	2008/09	
	-	Actuals	FY 2008/09	DDA Budget	Budget Revision	2008/09 Revision Comments
	Income					
	TIF Tax Levies				* *****	
	DDA Taxes	\$3,680,612	\$4,263,523	\$3,545,000	\$3,870,539	Final amount based on Final Property Values
	Miscellaneous Revenue					
	Interest on Investments	\$343,600	\$109,351	\$210,000	\$146,000	Lower Interest Rates Earned
	Miscellaneous	\$14,350	\$58,784	\$0		Façade Loan Payments
	Total Miscellaneous Revenue	\$357,950	\$168,135	\$210,000	\$227,340	
	Total Income	\$4,038,562	\$4,431,658	\$3,755,000	\$4,097,879	
	rotal income	φ 4 ,030,302	ψ+,+51,000	\$5,755,000	φ 4 ,037,073	
	Expenses					
51100	Salaries Permanent Salaries	\$94,300	\$65,978	\$92,877	¢02.977	1/2 Exec Dir & Management Assistant
	Temporary Pay	\$25,189	\$5,193	\$28,000		1/2 Intern + Accounting Clerk
	Total Salaries	\$119,489	\$71,171	\$120,877	\$99,877	
52100	Fringe Benefits Medical Insurance	\$8,768	\$6,528	\$8,650	\$8,650	
	Dental Insurance	\$0,700 \$1,023	\$0,528 \$740	\$8,650 \$950	\$8,650	
	Optical Insurance	\$235	\$114	\$325	\$152	
52130	Life Insurance Cost	\$367	\$311	\$250	\$415	
	Social Security	\$8,959	\$5,096	\$8,600	\$8,600	
	Retirement	\$12,202 \$379	\$9,025 \$247	\$15,000 \$450	\$15,000 \$450	
	Unemployment Compensation Deferred Compensation	\$379 \$683	\$247 \$553	\$450 \$780	\$450 \$780	
	Workers Comp	\$328	\$200	\$1,350	\$1,350	
52260	Short-Term Disability	\$268	\$92	\$1,125	\$1,125	
	Auto Allowance	\$1,894	\$1,420	\$2,000	\$2,000	
	VEBA Trust Retiree Health Care	\$5,190 \$9,477	\$4,096 \$5,162	\$7.625	\$4,096	
52290	Total Fringe Benefits	\$49,773	\$33,584	\$7,635 \$47,115	\$7,635 \$51,203	-
	i otar i migo Bononto	<i>Q</i> 10,110	\$00,001	<i>Q11</i> ,110	\$01,200	
53110	Administrative Expenses					
	Telephones	\$6,197	\$3,939	\$6,500	\$5,500	
	Printing Advertising	\$15,633 \$35,598	\$11,467 \$2,900	\$13,500 \$7,000	\$13,500 \$3,867	
	Conf & Training	\$42,586	\$36,944	\$30,000	\$42,000	
	Office Supplies	\$6,933	\$2,770	\$8,500	\$4,500	
	Software Maintenance Agreements	\$1,107	\$1,151	\$2,200	\$1,200	
	Miscellaneous	\$13,151	\$7,175	\$10,000	\$10,000	
	Government Functions Postage	\$6,551 \$1,210	\$3,712 \$122	\$5,000 \$600	\$6,500 \$250	
	Bank Charges	\$28,930	\$11,806	\$25,000	\$250 \$20,000	
	Prop/Plant/Equip<2500	\$20,779	\$4,743	\$12,000	\$10,000	
	Office Rent & Expenses	\$36,583	\$27,167	\$36,000	\$38,000	_
	Total Administration	\$215,257	\$113,896	\$156,300	\$155,317	
54100	Professional Services					
	Attorney Fees & Legal	\$23,693	\$7,955	\$15,000	\$15,000	Bodman, Longley & Darling
	Architect and Engineering	\$287,773	\$191,194	\$125,000	\$350,000	
	Consulting Fees	\$424,692	\$52,156	\$200,000	\$100,000	
	Total Professional Services	\$736,158	\$251,305	\$340,000	\$465,000	
55200	Self Insurance Transfer	\$0	\$0			
55200	MMRMA Insurance (Liability)	\$42,008	\$34,147	\$50,000	\$34,147	Received unanticipated credit from past years
	Total Insurance	\$42,008	\$34,147	\$50,000	\$34,147	···· ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	A					
57100	General Maintenance	\$20.444	\$20.3E0	\$100.000	¢100.000	
57200	General Repairs Equipment Repairs	\$39,444 \$90,000	\$30,359 \$71,324	\$120,000 \$80,000	\$120,000 \$80,000	
		\$129,444	\$101,683	\$200,000	\$200,000	-
58100	Tranfers					
58200	To Other Funds	\$851,706	\$200,000 \$1,005,207	\$200,000 \$550,000	\$200,000	Court/Polico Ecolity & LEED growt \$700%
	Other Grants Total Transfers	\$908,285 \$1,759,991	\$1,095,207 \$1,295,207	\$550,000 \$750,000	\$1,300,000	Court/Police Facility & LEED grant \$706K
		÷.,. 50,001	÷.,200,207	<i>.</i>	\$.,500,000	
59100	Capital Costs					
59200	Capital Equipment	\$10,171	\$11,554	\$15,000	\$15,000	
	Capital Construction	\$2,228,801	\$648,230	\$700,000	\$850,000	<u>.</u>
	Total Capital Costs	\$2,238,972	\$659,784	\$715,000	\$865,000	
59300	Bond Payments					
	Transfer to Bond Fund	\$1,313,518	\$1,374,727	\$1,335,510	\$1,374,727	_
	Total Bond Costs	\$1,313,518	\$1,374,727	\$1,335,510	\$1,374,727	-
	- · · -	#R 004 045	60 005 50 f	60 744 00C	¢ 4 7 45 075	
	Total Expenses	\$6,604,610	\$3,935,504	\$3,714,802	\$4,745,270	-
	Excess of Revenues Over Expenses	(\$2,566,048)	\$496,154	\$40,198	(\$647,391)	
		(yz,000,0 4 0)	ψτσυ, 104	ψ 1 0,130	(40,1+04)	•
	Fund Balance June 30, 2006	\$6,978,579				
	Fund Balance June 30, 2007	\$4 410 500				
	(Reserved and Unreserved)	\$4,412,532				

Fund Balance June 30, 2007 (Reserved and Unreserved)

FY 2008/09 **Budget Revision**

Agency : 003 Downtown Development Authority

Fund: 001 Housing

	2007/08 Actuals	1st Nine Months of FY 2008/09	Approved 2008/09 DDA Budget	Recommended 2008/09 Budget Revision	2008/09 Revision Comments
Income					
Contribution from TIF Fund Interest on Investments	\$431,706 \$61,911	\$200,000 \$23,041	\$200,000 \$40,431	\$200,000 \$30,721	Lower Interest Rates Earned
Total Income	\$493,617	\$223,041	\$240,431	\$230,721	-
Expenses					
Bank Fees Legal Fees Other Grants	\$2,027 \$0 \$249,213	\$2,242 \$0 \$90,000	\$3,000 \$2,500 \$20,826	\$3,000 \$2,500 \$90,000	Avalon Grant Unbudgeted
Total Expenses	\$251,240	\$92,242	\$26,326	\$95,500	_
Excess of Revenues over Expenses	\$242,377	\$130,799	\$214,105	\$135,221	-
Fund Balance - July 1, 2007	\$1,184,782				
Fund Balance - June 30, 2008 (Reserved and Unreserved)	\$1,427,159				
* 1999 - Avalon \$136,500, LISC \$50,000					

2000 - LISC \$50,000, Courthouse Square \$150,000

2001 - LISC \$200,000 Dawn Farms \$135,000, AA Chamber of Commerce \$5,000

2002- Courthouse Square \$100,000, AA Chamber of Commerce \$5,000, Washtenaw Housing Alliance \$22,725

2002-2001/https://washenaw.nots/ 2003-Ashley Mews \$75,000, Housing Coordinator \$10,000 2004-Baker Commons, Chiller & Entry Door Repairs \$83,445, City YMCA Debt Pmts \$1.7M 2005-YMCA Debt Pmts \$68K 2006-YMCA Debt Pmts \$68K Community Needs Study \$15K

2007-YMCA Debt Pmts \$68K, Avalon \$153K

2008 - \$150K Avalon 2009 - \$90K Avalaon

Agency: 003 Downtown Development Authority

Fund:	063 Parking	
-------	-------------	--

Income Parking Revenues Vashington/First laynard Jashington/Fourth orest Ave /illiam/Fourth bierty Square nn/Ashley William/Fourth bierty Square ni/Ashley William/ uron/Ashley/First uron/Fifth st & William layteret to W. Washington fth & William alet Service arking Meters leter Bags	2007/08 Actuals \$168,656 \$1,836,754 \$565,280 \$1,392,058 \$1,292,908 \$1,258,258 \$403,152 \$448,975 \$640,863 \$113,840 \$151,013 \$44,915 \$65,227	1st Nine Months of FY 2008/09 \$1,445,534 \$479,314 \$940,048 \$1,527,757 \$1,006,823 \$1,130,523 \$330,794 \$324,104 \$445,104 \$455,730	Approved 2008/09 DDA Budget \$139,532 \$1,745,632 \$635,575 \$995,090 \$2,005,626 \$1,255,877 \$1,550,871 \$448,995 \$418,073	Recommended 2008/09 Budget Revision \$118,012 \$1,686,456 \$639,085 \$1,096,769 \$2,037,009 \$1,342,431	
Parking Revenues /ashington/First laynard /ashington/Fourth orest Ave /illiam/Fourth berty Square nn/Ashley ifth Avenue . Ashley Street uron/Ashley/First uron/Fifth st & William ingerle Lot 15 W. Washington fifth & William alet Service arking Meters leter Bags Total Parking Revenues	Actuals \$168,656 \$1,836,754 \$565,280 \$1,392,058 \$1,292,908 \$1,252,2908 \$1,558,258 \$403,152 \$448,975 \$440,863 \$113,840 \$151,013 \$44,915	FY 2008/09 \$88,509 \$1,445,534 \$479,314 \$940,088 \$1,527,757 \$1,006,823 \$1,130,581 \$330,794 \$324,104 \$435,102 \$85,730	\$139,532 \$1,745,632 \$635,575 \$995,090 \$2,005,626 \$1,255,877 \$1,550,871 \$448,995	\$118,012 \$1,686,456 \$639,085 \$1,096,769 \$2,037,009	2008/09 Revision Comments
Parking Revenues /ashington/First laynard /ashington/Fourth orest Ave /illiam/Fourth berty Square nn/Ashley ifth Avenue . Ashley Street uron/Ashley/First uron/Fifth st & William ingerle Lot 15 W. Washington fifth & William alet Service arking Meters leter Bags Total Parking Revenues	\$1,836,754 \$665,280 \$1,392,058 \$2,379,985 \$1,292,908 \$1,558,258 \$403,152 \$448,975 \$640,863 \$113,840 \$151,013 \$44,915	\$1,445,534 \$479,314 \$940,088 \$1,527,757 \$1,006,823 \$1,130,581 \$330,794 \$324,104 \$445,102 \$85,730	\$1,745,632 \$635,575 \$995,090 \$2,005,626 \$1,255,877 \$1,550,871 \$448,995	\$1,686,456 \$639,085 \$1,096,769 \$2,037,009	
/ashington/First Jaynard /ashington/Fourth orest Ave /illiam/Fourth iberty Square nn/Ashley fith Avenue . Ashley Street uron/Ashley/First Uron/Ashley/First	\$1,836,754 \$665,280 \$1,392,058 \$2,379,985 \$1,292,908 \$1,558,258 \$403,152 \$448,975 \$640,863 \$113,840 \$151,013 \$44,915	\$1,445,534 \$479,314 \$940,088 \$1,527,757 \$1,006,823 \$1,130,581 \$330,794 \$324,104 \$445,102 \$85,730	\$1,745,632 \$635,575 \$995,090 \$2,005,626 \$1,255,877 \$1,550,871 \$448,995	\$1,686,456 \$639,085 \$1,096,769 \$2,037,009	
/ashington/Fourth orest Ave (illiam/Fourth iberty Square nn/Ashley (fth Avenue . Ashley Street uron/Ashley/First uron/Fifth st & William ingerle Lot 15 W. Washington fth & Wulliam alet Service arking Meters leter Bags Total Parking Revenues	\$565,280 \$1,392,058 \$2,379,985 \$1,292,908 \$1,558,258 \$403,152 \$448,975 \$640,863 \$113,840 \$151,013 \$44,915	\$479,314 \$940,088 \$1,527,757 \$1,006,823 \$1,130,581 \$330,794 \$324,104 \$445,102 \$85,730	\$635,575 \$995,090 \$2,005,626 \$1,255,877 \$1,550,871 \$448,995	\$639,085 \$1,096,769 \$2,037,009	
orest Ave //iliam/Fourth //iberty Square nn/Ashley /fth Avenue . Ashley Street uron/Ashley/First uron/Ashley fith & William alet Service arking Meters leter Bags Total Parking Revenues	\$1,392,058 \$2,379,985 \$1,292,908 \$1,558,258 \$403,152 \$448,975 \$640,863 \$113,840 \$151,013 \$44,915	\$940,088 \$1,527,757 \$1,006,823 \$1,130,581 \$330,794 \$324,104 \$445,102 \$85,730	\$995,090 \$2,005,626 \$1,255,877 \$1,550,871 \$448,995	\$1,096,769 \$2,037,009	
iberty Square nn/Ashley fifh Avenue . Ashley Street uron/Ashley/First uron/Fifth st & William ingerle Lot 15 W. Washington fifh & William alet Service arking Meters leter Bags Total Parking Revenues	\$1,292,908 \$1,558,258 \$403,152 \$448,975 \$640,863 \$113,840 \$151,013 \$44,915	\$1,006,823 \$1,130,581 \$330,794 \$324,104 \$445,102 \$85,730	\$1,255,877 \$1,550,871 \$448,995		
ifth Avenue . Ashley Street uron/Ashley/First uron/Fifth st & William ingerle Lot 15 W. Washington fifth & William alet Service arking Meters leter Bags Total Parking Revenues	\$403,152 \$448,975 \$640,863 \$113,840 \$151,013 \$44,915	\$330,794 \$324,104 \$445,102 \$85,730	\$448,995		
Ashley Street uron/Ashley/First uron/Fifth st & William Josef Lot 15 W. Washington fifth & William alet Service arking Meters leter Bags Total Parking Revenues	\$448,975 \$640,863 \$113,840 \$151,013 \$44,915	\$324,104 \$445,102 \$85,730		\$1,507,441 \$441,059	
uron/Fifth st & William ingerle Lot 15 W. Washington 15 W. Wulliam alet Service arking Meters leter Bags Total Parking Revenues	\$113,840 \$151,013 \$44,915	\$85,730		\$432,139	
st & William ingerle Lot 15 W. Washington fifth & William alet Service arking Meters leter Bags Total Parking Revenues	\$151,013 \$44,915		\$620,803 \$138,600	\$593,469 \$114,307	
15 W. Washington fth & William alet Service arking Meters leter Bags Total Parking Revenues		\$105,221	\$144,900	\$140,295	
ifth & William alet Service arking Meters leter Bags Total Parking Revenues		\$36,500 \$79,736	\$75,000 \$90,000	\$48,667 \$106,315	
arking Meters leter Bags Total Parking Revenues		\$42,800	\$0	\$57,067	
Total Parking Revenues	\$2,441,455	\$2,047 \$1,784,163	\$0 \$2,462,943	\$4,100 \$2,378,884	
-	\$540,346 \$14,043,683	\$456,850 \$10,311,653	\$379,560 \$13,107,077	\$532,992 \$13,276,496	-
	φ14,040,000	φ10,011,000	φ10,107,077	\$10,210,400	
Miscellaneous Income iterest on Investments	\$380,696	\$122,466	\$132,698	\$163,288	
liscellaneous Total Miscellaneous Income	\$2,383	\$0	\$1,000	\$0 \$163,288	-
Total Miscellaneous Income	\$383,079	\$122,466	\$133,698	\$103,200	
Total Income	\$14,426,763	\$10,434,119	\$13,240,775	\$13,439,784	
	ψ1 4 ,420,700	φ10,404,110	φ10,240,110	\$10,400,104	
Expenses					
Salaries ermanent Salaries	\$100 000	¢07 644	¢125 000	\$125.000	
emporary Salaries	\$6,644	\$3,112	\$8,500	\$5,000	<u>-</u>
Total Salaries	\$140,470	\$100,753	\$143,500	\$140,000	
Fringe Benefits	··		-	·····	
ledical Insurance ental Insurance	\$8,771 \$1,024	\$6,526 \$740	\$8,650 \$950	\$8,701 \$987	
ptical Insurance	\$276	\$143	\$325	\$191	
ife Insurance Cost ocial Security	\$332 \$10,883	\$284 \$7,621	\$250 \$10,978	\$379 \$10,161	
ension Costs	\$17,304 \$221	\$13,063	\$12,000 \$450	\$17,417	
effered Compensation	\$783	\$553	\$780	\$737	
/orkers Comp hort-Term Disability					
uto Allowance	\$1,894	\$1,420	\$2,000	\$1,893	
EBA Trust etiree Health Care	\$7,360 \$9,477		\$7,635		
Total Fringe Benefits	\$59,100	\$42,071	\$48,643	\$56,095	-
Administrative Expenses					
elephones rinting	\$6,766 \$5,461	\$4,614 \$192	\$10,000 \$5,000	\$7,000 \$2,500	
dvertising	\$538	\$943	\$5,750	\$2,500	
					Pay-by-Space Selection Committee
oftware Maintenance Agreements	\$1,107	\$1,151	\$2,400	\$1,151	
overnment Functions	\$005 \$2,983	\$3,242 \$1,537	\$6,250 \$5,500	\$4,500 \$3,000	
ostage ank Service Charges	\$245 \$69 722	\$140 \$61 227	\$600 \$45,000	\$187 \$85.000	Credit Card Fees were not budgeted
roperty Plant & Equipment < \$2,500	\$18,565	\$7,385	\$17,000	\$37,500	Meeting Room AV Equip. \$27K
Total Administrative Expenses	\$36,583 \$155,662	\$26,986 \$120,731	\$37,000 \$146,250	\$37,000 \$200,588	-
Professional Services					
egal	\$851	\$0	\$5,000	\$5,000	
ngineering Consulting rofessional Services	\$3,200 \$50,420	\$16,825 \$34,990	\$25,000 \$1,150,000	\$25,000 \$100,000	Train studies not undertaken
Total Professional Services	\$54,470	\$51,815	\$1,180,000	\$130,000	
Insurance					
ity Insurance Charges (Property) Total Insurance	\$56,902 \$56,902	\$42,838 \$42,838	\$85,000 \$85,000		Insurance Cost Reduction
	φ 00,90 2	ψ τ 2,030	900,00U	φι0,000	
Direct Parking Expenses /ages and Benefits	\$2,478.277	\$1,936,136	\$2,600,000	\$2.600.000	
ringe Benefits	\$862,476	\$703,924	\$850,000	\$938,565	
lanagement Fees dministrative Expense	\$190,000 \$222,406	\$114,300 \$197,715	\$195,700 \$229,078	\$195,000 \$265,000	
laintenance Expense	\$765,531	\$515,371	\$450,000	\$690,000	
quipment	\$301,060 \$5,713	\$220,331 \$40,350	\$325,000 \$75,000	\$300,000 \$60,000	
arking Facility Rent arking Facility -Property Taxes	\$429,712 \$76,159	\$337,568 \$77,924	\$465,000 \$80,000	\$465,000 \$80,000	
arking Facility -Street Transfers	\$760,036	\$570,189	\$782,838	\$765,000	
leter Rent Total Direct Parking Expenses	\$2,000,000 \$8.091.370	\$2,000,000 \$6,713,808	\$2,000,000 \$8.052.615	\$2,000,000 \$8,358,565	<u>-</u>
- ·	,	,0,000		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Utilities atural Gas	\$6,949	\$5,766	\$15,000	\$10,000	
/ater	\$17,793	\$15,587	\$25,000	\$25,000	
Total Utilities	\$338,739 \$363,482	\$244,871 \$266,224	\$385,000 \$425,000	\$350,000 \$385,000	
Grants & Transfers					
irants	\$182,334	\$365,005	\$500,000	\$650,000	Desiries Maint F. 17. 1
ransfers Total Grants & Transfers	\$2,093,605 \$2,275,939	\$2,093,605 \$2,458,610	\$2,093,605 \$2,593,605	\$2,093,605 \$2,743,605	Parking Maint Fund Transfer
	,			,	
Bond Payments					
ond Payments	\$3,327,198	\$3,320,077	\$3,001,933	\$3,320,077	Current Bonds
Total Expenses	\$14,539,558	\$13,116,927	\$15,676,546	\$15,403,930	-
xcess of Revenues over Expenses	(\$112,795)	(\$2,682,808)	(\$2,435,771)	(\$1,964,146)	<u>-</u>
und Balance - July 1, 2007	\$5,607,640				
e leepifoenewhute eridofoliooanif enn it wilddalagaaale avit in voor voor voor voor voor voor voor voo	rmanent Salaries rmporary Salaries rotal Salaries rotal Salaries rotal Salaries rotal Salaries rotal Insurance edical Insurance edical Insurance edical Insurance edical Insurance fe Insurance Cost scient Security ension Costs remployment Compensation effered Compensation orkers Comp ort-Term Disability to Allowance EAA Trust etitree Health Care rotal Fringe Benefits inting Svertising onferences & Training fice Supplies offware Maintenance Agreements iscellaneous overnment Functions stage offers Congeneration offered Conges operty Plant & Equipment < \$2,500 fice Rent & Utilities rotal Administrative Expenses rotal Administrative Expenses rotal Professional Services rotal Direct Parking Expenses aintenance Expense aintenance Expense aintenance Expense aintenance Expense rotal Direct Parking Expenses rotal Direct Parking Expenses rotal Direct Parking Expenses rotal Direct Parking Expenses rotal Carats & Transfers eter Rent rotal Direct Parking Expenses rotal Grants & Transfers rotal Grants & Transfers rotal Grants & Transfers rotal Carats & Transfer	armanent Salaries \$133,826 mporary Salaries Total Salaries Total Salaries \$6,644 Total Salaries \$140,470 Pringe Benefits \$8,771 edical Insurance \$1,024 otical Insurance \$1,024 periodical Insurance \$2,024 orkers Comp \$393 ondersorp \$393 periodical Insurance \$1,024 periodical Insurance \$1,024 periodical Insurance \$1,024 periodical Insurance \$1,024 periodical Insurance \$2,93 periodical Insurance \$2,961 periodical Insurance \$2,963 periodical Insurance \$2,963 periodical Insurance \$2,963 periodical Insurance \$2,960 periodical Insurance \$2,960 periodical Insurance \$2,960 periodinternance Agreements \$1,107 <	armanent Salaries \$133.826 \$97.641 imporary Salaries \$6.644 \$3.112 Total Salaries \$6.647 \$100.753 Fringe Benefits \$8.771 \$6.526 edical Insurance \$1.024 \$740 total Insurance \$1.024 \$740 total Salaries \$1.024 \$743 total Salaries \$17.304 \$13.063 total Salaries \$17.304 \$13.063 total Salaries \$17.304 \$13.063 total Salaries \$10.02 \$242.071 total Science \$59.100 \$44.071 total Science \$59.100 \$44.071 total Science \$59.100 \$44.071 total None \$59.100 \$44.071 total Science \$59.100 \$44.2071 total Negrements <	stranser \$133,826 \$97,641 \$135,000 mporary Salaries S6,644 \$3112 \$84,500 Fringe Benefits \$8,771 \$6,526 \$84,650 ential Insurance \$102,4 \$740 \$950 ential Insurance \$102,4 \$740 \$950 ential Insurance \$102,4 \$740 \$950 otical Insurance \$10,24 \$740 \$950 otical Insurance \$10,883 \$7621 \$10,978 ential Insurance \$10,883 \$576,276 \$10,978 ential Insurance \$17,394 \$13,082 \$10,978 entities \$578,3 \$553 \$760 orters Comp \$333 \$284 \$313 \$11,25 tor Harm Ibability \$333 \$284 \$5,688 \$120 \$5,000 forter mot Supplies Total Fringe Benefits \$5,766 \$4,614 \$10,000 inting \$5,566 \$3,424 \$5,200 \$5,688 \$5,700 \$2,420,71 \$48,643	mmporary Salaries \$133.826 \$87,641 \$135.000 \$133.800 Total Salaries \$140.470 \$100.753 \$143.500 \$140.000 Fringe Benefits \$102.44 \$37.11 \$5.625 \$5.8,650 \$5.8,701 Belded Insurance \$1,024 \$740 \$955 \$987 \$11.32 \$11.31 \$10.00 \$11.7417 Insuinance Cost \$332.22 \$224 \$255 \$379 \$10.161 \$10.27 \$14.3325 \$10.721 \$10.7417 \$10.051 \$10.7417 \$10.761 \$10.27 \$10.7417 \$10.161 \$10.27 \$10.00 \$77.77 \$10.161 \$10.27 \$10.20 \$10

FY 2008/09 **Budget Revision**

Agency:003 Downtown Development AuthorityFund:065 Parking Maintenance Reserve

	2007/08 Actuals	1st Nine Months of FY 2008/09	Approved 2008/09 DDA Budget	Recommended 2008/09 Budget Revision	2008/09 Revision Comments
Income					
Operating Transfers					
45000 Tranfer from Parking Fund	\$2,093,605	\$2,093,605	\$2,093,605	, ,,	CWI 2008 Report
43000 Interest	\$86,028	\$28,203	\$64,450	\$37,604	Lower interest rate earned
Total Income	\$2,179,633	\$2,121,808	\$2,158,055	\$2,131,209	
Expenses					
Facility Repairs					
53170 Miscellaneous	\$0	\$0	\$5,000	\$2,000	
53200 Bank Charges	\$0	\$208	\$0	\$500	
54200 Architect and Engineering Fees	\$111,607	\$509,659	\$200,000	. ,	If the bond fund is set up prior to Y/E this
54300 Consultant Fees	\$782,190	\$26,327		\$50,000	will be reduced
57100 Parking Facility Repairs	\$237,301	\$120,492	\$250,000	\$250,000	
57200 Equipment Repairs	\$145,579	\$9,735	\$175,000	\$50,000	<u>.</u>
Total Facility Repairs	\$1,276,677	\$666,213	\$630,000	\$1,102,500	
Capital Costs					
59100 Capital Equipment	\$541,349	\$431,311	\$100,000		Pay-by-Space Machines \$400K, TDM Equip
59200 Capital Construction	\$1,101,510	\$873,906	\$1,201,527	\$1,500,000	Library Parking Strucutre Exp. To be Bonded
Total Capital Costs	\$1,642,859	\$1,305,217	\$1,301,527	\$2,400,000	
Total Expenses	\$2,919,536	\$1,971,430	\$1,931,527	\$3,502,500	_
Excess of Revenues over Expenses	(\$739,903)	\$150,378	\$226,528	(\$1,371,291)	-
Fund Balance - July 1, 2007	\$2,349,723				
Fund Balance - June 30, 2008 (Reserved and Unreserved)	\$1,609,820				

MEMO

DATE:	May 27, 2009
TO:	DDA Operations Committee
FROM:	Joe Morehouse
RE:	Progress towards the DDA TDM Goals

At the February 2008 Operations Committee, DDA staff presented an 18 month action plan to help us eventually transition to a transportation demand system. Since we last met in April, Republic Parking and I have taken the following actions as outlined in the February 27, 2008 memo to the Operations Committee:

- The usage and revenue/cost reports for the Maynard valet service are attached.
- The fourth month of tracking customer parking through an AVI card went well. However we are having a problem with the credit cards we have on file becoming invalid. We have has four cards become invalid out of the 13 test accounts. The reason for the invalidations is that the cardholders are being issued new cards because of expiration dates or security issues. We are attempting to implement a procedure to follow up on with the cardholders when the card becomes invalid.
- The use of magnetic encoded validation tickets should begin soon.
- We are scheduled to launch the e-park system on June 15th. We will be installing four machines per day for five days straight. Republic has arranged to have personnel on street to help any customers use the stations. Temporary signage will be deployed during the first few weeks of deployment and the media will be contacted along with the creation of a chat room on the web.
- The Customer Service Line had 16 calls since the last report.

DDA Valet Expenses December to April

	December	January	February	March	April	Total
INCOME:						
Valet Charges	\$90	\$595	\$517	\$845	\$780	\$2,827
EXPENSES:						
Personnel Expenses						
Payroll						
-Salary	\$0	\$1,458	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,458
-Hourly	\$2,192	\$5,867	\$5,932	\$6,450	\$6,127	\$26,569
Payroll Taxes	\$264	\$891	\$723	\$788	\$734	\$3,400
Workers Comp	\$121	\$403	\$326	\$355	\$337	\$1,542
Employee Health Care/Benefits/401k	(\$13)	(\$38)	\$38	\$443	(\$25)	\$405
Total Personnel Expenses	\$2,564	\$8,582	\$7,019	\$8,036	\$7,173	\$33,375
Operating Expenses						
General Administrative	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$61	\$61
Office Supplies	\$1,335	\$397	\$0	\$0		\$1,732
Tickets Printing	\$242	\$0	\$409	\$0		\$651
Postage	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
Gas, Oil, Maintenance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$428	\$428
Communications	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
Material	\$3,145	\$159	\$0	\$0		\$3,305
Data Processing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
Uniforms	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
Insurance	\$9	\$23	\$250	\$18	\$441	\$741
Card Refunds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
Snow Removal	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
Maintenance Contract	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
Contract Work	\$0	\$2,064	\$0	\$0		\$2,064
Total Operating Exp.	\$4,731	\$2,643	\$659	\$18	\$930	\$8,982
Management Fee	\$0	\$600	\$600	\$600	\$600	\$2,400
Incentive Fee	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Grand Total Expenses	\$7,296	\$11,825	\$8,278	\$8,654	\$8,704	\$44,757
Net Revenues (Loss)	(\$7,206)	(\$11,230)	(\$7,761)	(\$7,809)	(\$7,924)	(\$41,930)

					<u>Ideal</u>		Recommended		
					Facility	Recommended	<u>Facility</u>		
		Caller's	Caller's	Caller's Ideal	Available	Parking	Available	Caller's	
Date	Time	Origin	Destination	Parking Area	Spaces	Area	Spaces	Response	Notes
18-Mar	11:21 AM	unknown	Fourth and Washington	80	19	n/a	n/a	n/a	customer called to ask number of spaces only
18-Mar	1:39 PM	unknown	Fourth and Washington	80	27	n/a	n/a	n/a	customer called to ask number of spaces only
26-Mar	11:39 AM	unknown	wrong number	n/a					
27-Mar	10:23 AM	unknown	"campus"	82	83	83	178	n/a	customer will try Maynard first, then Forest
30-Mar	11:03 AM	unknown	Fourth and Washington	80	91	n/a	n/a	n/a	customer called to ask number of spaces only
								,	customer needed direction to Dana Bldg; moved vehicle FROM Maynard parking
31-Mar	9:32 AM	Maynard	U-M SNRE	82	220			n/a	structure and parked on street customer called to report a foul smell in the elevator. Claimed the smell was
24-Apr		Forest	unknown	83	166	n/a	n/a	customer was pleased	"Urine". Inspected by Maintenance, not Urine - Natural Gas.
5/1/2009	16:30	Maynard		82			757		Called to ask how many spaces
5, 1, 2005	10100	indynara							Customer called and said he was in an open lot off Main St. He was very irate and
									threatened to drive through a gate. I thought he was at 96, Randy went and checked
									to help the customer out, he ended up getting out somehow, P. Akers saw a car
5/1/2009	18:21	unknown	Open Lot	n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	speed through the 1st St. Exit.
5/5/2009	17:51	unknown	84	84	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Wanted to know if 4th and williams garage was puble
5/11/2009	13:36	unknown	All	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Friendly and said thank you.	Interested in rates and monthly parking info.
5/11/2009	15:36	unknown	Hung Up	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
5/12/2009	13:32	unknown	Caller Did Not Speak	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
5/12/2009	15:38	unknown	Called about lease cards	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Wanted to know how much they cost.
5/13/2009	12:04	unknown	Caller Did Not Speak	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
5/14/2009	10:13	unknown	82Office/Vendor Issue	82	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Had a question about an account.
5/14/2009	14:17	unknown	Hung Up	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
									Wondered if we had a garage near the depot, I informed him of the parking available
5/15/2009	10:18	unknown	Ann Arbor Train Station	A2 Train Station		-	n/a	Contacted Amtrak	provided by amtrak.
5/15/2009	11:03	unknown	Caller Did Not Speak	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
5/15/2009	14:27	unknown	wrong number	n/a	1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
5/15/2009	14:28	unknown	wrong number	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
									Wanted to know if this was the proper number for car counts, I informed him it was
5/15/2009		unknown	Parking Capacity/All Lots	82,80		n/a	n/a		and gave him garage capacities and car counts for 82 and 80.
5/19/2009	11:02	unknown	Maynard/Application	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Filling out an application.	

LEGEND

Date = 00/00

Time = 24 hr clock

Origin =

Destination = destination name

Ideal parking location = name of lot, garage, or on street

Recommendation = location recommended to park

Current availability = available space count for recommended lot according to system and manual counts

Caller's Response = accept or deny or alternative plan

Notes = brief description of customers response, added questions, etc

Ann Arbor Downtown Development Authority Gross Revenues/ Hourly Patrons **April 2009 & April 2008**

	April <u>2009</u>		April <u>2008</u>		Increase (Decrease)		% Increase (Decrease)	
		Hourly		Hourly		Hourly		Hourly
	Revenues	Patrons	Revenues	Patrons	Revenues	Patrons	Revenues	Patrons
Revenues:								
Washington/First	\$9,838	1,689	\$10,586	1,492	(\$748)	197	(7.06%)	13.20%
Maynard	\$175,331	51,358	\$166,479	46,229	\$8,852	5,129	5.32%	11.09%
Washington/Fourth	\$57,392	22,842	\$54,697	18,481	\$2,695	4,361	4.93%	23.60%
Forest	\$118,118	32,166	\$108,223	27,368	\$9,895	4,798	9.14%	17.53%
Fourth/William	\$160,438	20,788	\$164,143	20,300	(\$3,704)	488	(2.26%)	2.40%
Liberty Square	\$110,407	5,606	\$111,303	6,718	(\$896)	(1,112)	(0.80%)	(16.55%)
Ann/Ashley	\$127,422	10,541	\$125,667	8,671	\$1,755	1,870	1.40%	21.57%
Fifth Avenue (Library)	\$40,475	22,219	\$37,968	18,022	\$2,507	4,197	6.60%	23.29%
Kline Lot	\$37,366	13,970	\$38,291	12,139	(\$925)	1,831	(2.42%)	15.08%
Huron/Ashley/First	\$52,510	23,175	\$53,317	19,082	(\$807)	4,093	(1.51%)	21.45%
Fifth & Huron	\$9,275		\$9,450		(\$175)		(1.85%)	
First & Williams	\$9,335		\$10,625		(\$1,290)		(12.14%)	
Fingerle Lot	\$3,360		\$3,518		(\$158)		(4.48%)	
415 W. Washington	\$9,537	1,353	\$10,337	1,473	(\$800)	(120)	(7.74%)	(8.15%)
Fifth & William	\$8,455	3,788			\$0	0	100.00%	
Meters	\$226,045		\$228,542		(\$2,497)		(1.09%)	
Meter Bags	\$36,210		\$33,675		\$2,535		7.53%	
Total Revenues	\$1,191,513	209,495	\$1,166,820	179,975	\$16,239	25,732	1.39%	14.30%

Number of Business Days	2009 2008	26 26
Number of Weekend Days (F & S)	2009 2008	8 8

RESOLUTION TO SUPPORT APPROVAL OF A2D2 ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDMENTS WITH RECOMMENDED EDITS FOLLOWING THE DECISION TO IMPOSE DOWNTOWN BUILDING HEIGHT LIMITS

Whereas, The City established the Downtown Residential Taskforce in October 2003 to uncover barriers to downtown residential development, and in May 2005 hired Calthorpe Associates to work with the community to develop a vision for the downtown, as well as recommendations to realize this vision;

Whereas, in March 2006, City Council approved the Downtown Development Strategies Final Report Implementation Plan and in September 2006 City Council approved the A2D2: Ann Arbor Discovering Downtown name to refer to the next steps in the Downtown Development Strategies Project;

Whereas, A2D2 zoning ordinance amendments have undergone a first reading by City Council at which time some changes were made including the decision to institute a building height limit;

Whereas, The DDA approved a resolution at its April 1, 2009 meeting congratulating the City and the community for developing excellent recommendations to improve downtown zoning, and as part of this resolution respectfully provided some recommended changes which were accepted as part of the public input for this project;

Whereas, City Council is anticipated to approve the A2D2 zoning changes at second reading in early July and members of the DDA seek to provide some remaining recommendations which include:

- Given that City Council has resolved to impose building height limits in D1 and D2, the DDA respectfully
 recommends that if 33% or more of a floor of structured parking required by the zoning ordinance is
 being constructed within a development, the remaining parking needed to complete a floor of parking
 should not be calculated as part of the building's FAR.
- We recommend that the ratio for residential premiums be restored to a 1 to 1 proportion as is current zoning.
- Now that a height limit has been established in the D1, we recommend that the by right zoning in the D1 be increased to 500%.
- Further, to increase the community benefits of new buildings, we recommend that the FAR with premiums be increased to 900%, and with affordable housing to 1,100%.

RESOLVED, Once again the DDA warmly congratulates the City of Ann Arbor, its staff, Planning Commission members, A2D2 committee members and other members of the public for developing excellent recommendations to change downtown zoning to encourage community goals such as increased numbers of downtown residents;

RESOLVED, The DDA formally requests that City Council adopt the recommendations for changes to the A2D2 downtown zoning ordinance amendments as set forward above.

RES A2D2 zoning approval changes 060309.doc June 3, 2009