CITY OF ANN ARBOR GREENBELT PROGRAM

SCREENING AND SCORING SYSTEMS FOR REVIEW OF POTENTIAL ACQUISITIONS

The screening and scoring system for review of potential land and easement acquisitions consists of two land types, each with three major categories. The system is intended to identify high-quality agricultural and open space lands that are appropriate for protection through the Greenbelt Program.

SUMMARY OF CATEGORIES

- A. Agricultural Land
 - 1. Characteristics of the Land
 - 2. Context
 - 3. Acquisition Considerations
- B. Open Space Land
 - 1. Characteristics of the Land
 - 2. Context
 - 3. Acquisition Considerations

SCREENING/REVIEW CRITERIA

A. Agricultural Land

- 1. Characteristics of the Land
 - a. *Type of Agricultural Land*. Percent of the property with prime or unique soil types.

<60% low 60-80% medium >80% high

For scoring, divide number of acres of quality soils by total acres nominated to gain a percentage and then multiply that by 13 to produce the score.

b. Parcel Size.

<40 acres	low	2
40-80 acres	medium	10
>80 acres	high	15

c. Road Frontage.

<500 feet	low	0
500-1,000 feet	medium	1
>1,000 feet	high	2

d.		o r Floodplain. Per	cent of the property with those features.
	>20%	low	2
	10-20%	medium	5
	<20%	high	8
e.	Groundwater I	Recharge. Percent	of property serving as groundwater recharge.
	<50%	low	2
	50-75%	medium	4
	>75%	high	5.5
f.			rridors, woodlots or rare species present, or is
		_	e for governmental conservation programs?
	0 features	low	0
	1-2 features	medium	7
	3 or 4 features	high	15
Coı	ntext		
a.		ty Limit. Is the pro	perty located within one mile of the Ann Arbor
	city limit?	0. 17	
	<u>1</u> Yes	<u> </u>	
b.	•		Percent of the property's perimeter in
	agricultural or	open space zoning.	
	<50%	low	1
	50-89%	medium	2
	90% or more	high	4
c.	Adjacent Land	Use. Percent of th	ne property's perimeter in an open space use.
	<50%	low	2
	50-89%	medium	4
	90% or more	high	6.5
d.	Proximity to Pr	rotected Land—Na	utural Area or Farmland with easement.
	>1 mile	low	0
	1 mile or less	medium	5
	adjacent	high	9.5
e.	Scenic and/or i	historical value. D	Ooes the site provide a broad, sweeping view
•			does it have unique or historical features?
	0 features	low	0
	1 feature	medium	5
	2 or more featu	res high	8
f.	Located within	Farmland Complex	x
	a. Yes	1	10
	b. No		0

2.

	g.	Contai	ns Huro	on River	Tribut	ary or i	s along	the Hur	on River			
		a.	Yes			-		10				
		b.	No					0				
	h.	There are 5 or more residential home						n ½ mil	e radius			
		a.	Yes					10				
		b.	No					0				
3.	Ac	auisitio	n Consid	derations	S.							
٠.		-				f possil	ole sour	ces of m	natching fu	ınds t	he proper	tv
			_			_			programs.		nie proper	-)
		-	tching f		р,		0		programs.			
			ible sou				5					
		-	ible sou			10						
		-		sible sou	irces	10		14				
		0 01 111	pos.	51010 500								
	b.	Lando	wner C	ontribut	ion. P	ercent	of the a	praised	l value of o	devel	opment ri	ghts
				is willir				. 1				
			ntributio		C		0					
		<10%		low			5					
			%		n		8					
		>20%		high			11.5					
				8								
Δ		access 6	to publ Yes	otential. ic waters 0 0 points	s or tra _ No		_		n, will the orridor?	prop	erty provi	de
٦.	O	inci iaci	1015 – 30	o pomits	wai							
B. Open 1.	Ch	aracteri <i>Mature</i>	stics of Trees	the Landor Rare onal plan	Specie		c		low		5	
				forest of					medium		10	
				itats of s	_			nt	high		14	
	b.	Parcel	Size.									
	٠,	<20 ac	_		low		2					
		20-40			mediu	m	3					
		>40 ac			high	-	4.5					
			==		0							

c. Road Frontage.

	No frontage			0	
	<500 feet		low	1	
	500-1,000 feet	t	medium	2	
	>1,000 feet		high	3	
d.	Wetlands and/	or Flood	<i>lplain</i> . Pe	rcent of tl	he property with those features.
	No features		•	0	1 1 3
	<10%	low		3	
	10-20%	mediun	1	7	
	>20%	high		11	
e.	Groundwater 1	Recharg	e. Percent	of proper	rty serving as groundwater recharge.
	<50%	low		2	
	50-75%	mediun	1	4	
	>75%	high		6	
t		_			
a.	Distance to Ci	ity Limit	. Is the pr	operty loc	eated within one mile of the Ann Arbor
	city limit?				
	Yes	0	_ No		
h	Adiacent I an	d IIsa F	Percent of	the prope	rty's perimeter in an open space use.
υ.	None	a Osc. 1	CICCIII OI	0	ty's permitter in an open space use.
	<50%		low	3	
	50-89%		medium	4	
	90% or more		high	5.5	
C	Provimity to 1	Protected	l Land_N	Jatural A	rea or Farmland with easement.
C.	>1 mile		low	0	rea or Farmana wan easement.
	1 mile or less		medium	5	
	adjacent		high	9.5	
d	Dravimity to 1	Water D	agauraag L	'rontaga	Amount of frontage on open water or a
u.	perennial stre		esources r	romuge.	Amount of frontage on open water of a
	No frontage	aiii.		0	
	<100 feet		low	5	
	100-500 feet		medium	10	
	>500 feet		high	14	
			C		
e.					site provide a broad, sweeping view have unique or historical features?
	0 features		low	0	and and of motorion founder.
	1 feature		medium	5	
	2 or more feat		high	9.5	
			$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}$		

f. *Number of Vehicle Trips per Day*. On which kind of public road does the property have frontage? (National Functional Classification)

2. Context

	Local		low	0	
	Collector		medium	0.5	
	Minor arteria	ıl :	high	1	
	f. Located v	within Str	ategic plan F	armland	d Complex
	Yes		C I	10	1
	No			0	
g.	Contains Huro	on River T	ributary or i	s along	the Huron River
8.	Yes			10	
	No			0	
3. Ac	equisition Cons	iderations			
	-			ible sou	rces of matching funds the property is
	_		-		ederal, or Township programs.
	No possible r		-		0
	1 possible source				
	2 possible sources				
	3 or more pos		rces		11
b.	Landowner (Contribut	ion. Percent	of the a	appraised value of development rights
	the landowne				
	No contributi		0	0	
	<10%	low		2	
	10-20%	mediun	1	4	
	>20%	high		6.5	
	ח י ח		C '11	.1	
c.				tne prop	perty provide access to public waters or
	trails, or prot				
	10Yes	s <u> </u>	_ No		
4 Otl	ner factors – 26	nointe			
→ . Ou	101 100018 – 20	pomis			