CITY OF ANN ARBOR GREENBELT PROGRAM

SCREENING AND SCORING SYSTEMS FOR REVIEW OF POTENTIAL ACQUISITIONS

The screening and scoring system for review of potential land and easement acquisitions consists of two land types, each with three major categories. The system is intended to identify high-quality agricultural and open space lands that are appropriate for protection through the Greenbelt Program.

SUMMARY OF CATEGORIES

A. Agricultural Land [168.5 points]

- 1. Characteristics of the Land [73.5 possible points; 43.6% of points
- 2. Context [69.5 possible points; 41.3% of points]
- 3. Acquisition Considerations [25.5 possible points; 15.1% of points]

B. Open Space Land [172 points]

- 1. Characteristics of the Land [58.5 possible points; 34% of points]
- 2. Context [73 possible points; 42.4% of points]
- 3. Acquisition Considerations [40.5 possible points; 23.6% of points]

SCREENING/REVIEW CRITERIA

A. Agricultural Land [168.5 points]

- 1. Characteristics of the Land [73.5 possible points; 43.6% of points]
 - a. *Type of Agricultural Land.* Percent of the property with prime or unique, or locally important soil types. [Possible points = 13]

<60% low 60-80% medium >80% high

For scoring, divide number of acres of quality soils by total acres nominated to gain a percentage and then multiply that by 13 to produce the score.

b. Parcel Size.

| <40 acres | low | 2 |
|-------------|--------|----|
| 40-80 acres | medium | 10 |
| >80 acres | high | 15 |

c. Road Frontage.

| <500 feet | low | 0 | |
|----------------|--------|---|--|
| 500-1,000 feet | medium | 1 | |
| >1.000 feet | high | 2 | |

| d. | Wetlands and | <i>l/or Floodplain</i> . Perc | ent of the property with those features. |
|-----|-----------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | >20% | low | 2 |
| | 10-20% | medium | 5 |
| | <20% | high | 8 |
| e. | | • | of property serving as groundwater recharge. |
| | <50% | low | 2 |
| | 50-75% | medium | 4 |
| | >75% | high | 5.5 |
| f. | | | ridors, woodlots or rare species present, or is for governmental conservation programs? |
| | 1-2 features | medium | 7 |
| | 3 or 4 features | | 15 |
| | 3 of Transfer | , | |
| Car | start [60 5 mag | sible points, 41 20/ | of naintal |
| a. | | sible points; 41.3% | perty located within one mile of the Ann Arbor |
| a. | city limit? | ily Limii. Is the prop | berty located within one line of the Alin Arbor |
| | | 0 No | |
| | <u> </u> | 110 | |
| b. | • | · · | ercent of the property's perimeter in |
| | _ | open space zoning. | |
| | <50% | low | 1 |
| | 50-89% | medium | 2 |
| | 90% or more | high | 4 |
| c. | | | Parcel is located within an Agricultural d in the Township's Master Plan. |
| | Yes | | 5 |
| | No | | 0 |
| d. | Township PD | R Ordinance. The T | ownship has passed a PDR Ordinance. |
| | Yes | | 5 |
| | No | | 0 |
| e. | Percent of Fa | rm Nominated. | |
| | <50% | low | 1 |
| | 50-89% | medium | 2 |
| | 90% or more | high | 4 |
| f. | Percent of Fa | rm Harvested. | |
| • | <30% | low | 1 |
| | 31% - 70% | medium | 2 |
| | 70% or more | high | $\overline{4}$ |

2.

| g. | Adjacent Land | Use. Percent of the | e property's perimete | er in an open space use. |
|----|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| U | <50% | low | 2 | 1 1 |
| | 50-89% | medium | 4 | |
| | 90% or more | high | 6.5 | |
| | | C | | |
| h. | Proximity to Pr | rotected Land—Na | tural Area or Farml | and with easement. |
| | >1 mile (low) | | | 0 |
| | Within 1/2 mile | e of 1 property (med | dium) | 5 |
| | | e property (med. – ł | | 9.5 |
| | Adjacent to mo | re than one protecto | ed property (high) | 12 |
| | | | | |
| i. | | | - | broad, sweeping view |
| | | | loes it have unique or | historical features? |
| | 0 features | low | 0 | |
| | 1 feature | medium | 5 | |
| | 2 or more featu | res high | 8 | |
| | Ctuataria Dian | Forms in located wi | thin Formuland Comm | law identified in Strategie |
| j. | Plan. | rarm is located wi | ının Farmiana Comp | lex identified in Strategic |
| | Yes | | 10 | |
| | No | | 0 | |
| | NO | | U | |
| k. | Huron River. | Contains Huron Riv | er tributary or is alon | g the Huron River. |
| | Yes | | 10 | |
| | No | | 0 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | ssible points; 15.1% | |
| a. | | | | ning funds the property |
| | | <u> </u> | and / or Federal prog | grams. |
| | No matching fu | | 0 | |
| | 1 possible source | | 5 | |
| | 2 possible source | ces | 10 | |
| | 3 or more possi | ble sources | 14 | |
| h | Landownay Co | ntuihutian Daraan | t of the ennroised well | ue of development rights |
| υ. | | s willing to donate. | | ue of development rights |
| | No contribution | | 0 | |
| | | low | 5 | |
| | | medium | 8 | |
| | | nigh | 11.5 | |
| | - 4U/U | யதா | 11.5 | |
| | | | | |

3.

- B. Open Space Land [172 possible points]
 - 1. Characteristics of the Land [58.5 possible points; 34% of points]
 - a. Mature Trees or Rare Species

| early successional plant communities | low | 5 |
|--|--------|----|
| mature native forest or grassland elements | medium | 10 |
| species or habitats of special concern present | high | 14 |

b. Parcel Size.

| <20 acres | low | 2 |
|-------------|--------|-----|
| 20-40 acres | medium | 3 |
| >40 acres | high | 4.5 |

c. Road Frontage.

| No frontage | | 0 |
|----------------|--------|---|
| <500 feet | low | 1 |
| 500-1,000 feet | medium | 2 |
| >1,000 feet | high | 3 |

d. Wetlands and/or Floodplain. Percent of the property with those features.

| No features | _ | 0 |
|-------------|--------|----|
| <10% | low | 3 |
| 10-20% | medium | 7 |
| >20% | high | 11 |

e. Groundwater Recharge. Percent of property serving as groundwater recharge.

| <50% | low | 2 |
|--------|--------|---|
| 50-75% | medium | 4 |
| >75% | high | 6 |

f. *Natural Features.* Are stream corridors, woodlots or rare species present, or is the property enrolled in or eligible for governmental conservation programs?

| 0 features | low | 0 |
|-----------------|--------|----|
| 1-2 features | medium | 7 |
| 3 or 4 features | high | 15 |

g. *Huron River BioReserve Sites*. Does the property contain areas or features identified as a priority for preservation by the Huron River Watershed Council's BioReserve project.

| Yes | 5 |
|-----|---|
| No | 0 |

- 2. Context [73 possible points; 42.4% of points]
 - a. Distance to City Limit. Is the property located within one mile of the Ann Arbor

| | city limit? 2 Yes 0 | No | | |
|----|--|---------------|---|--|
| b. | Adjacent Land Use. | Percent of t | he property's perimet | er in an open space use. |
| | None | | 0 | |
| | <50% | low | 3 | |
| | 50-89% | medium | 4 | |
| | 90% or more | high | 5.5 | |
| c. | Proximity to Water | Resources F | rontage. Amount of | frontage on open water or a |
| | perennial stream. | | | |
| | No frontage | | 0 | |
| | <100 feet | low | 5 | |
| | 100-500 feet | medium | 10 | |
| | >500 feet | high | 14 | |
| d. | Proximity to Protect | ted Land—N | atural Area or Farm | land with easement. |
| | >1 mile (low) | | | 0 |
| | Within 1/2 mile of 1 | property (me | edium) | 5 |
| | Adjacent to one proj | perty (med. – | high) | 9.5 |
| | Adjacent to more that | an one protec | eted property (high) | 12 |
| e. | = | | Open Space Preservat Seatures or Open Spa 5 0 | t ion Ordinance. The ce Preservation Ordinance. |
| f. | | | <u> </u> | a broad, sweeping view or historical features? |
| | 0 features | low | 0 | |
| | 1 feature | medium | 5 | |
| | 2 or more features | high | 9.5 | |
| g. | Number of Vehicle have frontage? (Nat Local Collector Minor arterial | | | lic road does the property |
| h. | Strategic Plan. The identified in the Grant Gran | | • | gic plan Farmland Complex |
| | Yes | | 10 | |
| | No | | 0 | |
| | i. <i>Huron River</i> . C | Contains Huro | on River Tributary or 1 | is along the Huron River |

| | j. Percent o | of Land Nominated j | for Easeme | ent / Purchase. | |
|-------|---|---|-------------|--------------------|------|
| | <50% | low | 1 | | |
| | 50-89% | medium | 2 | | |
| | 90% or more | high | 4 | | |
| 3. Ac | quisition Con | siderations [40.5 po | ssible poin | ıts; 23.6% of poir | ıts] |
| a. | | <i>inds.</i> Number of pos -Washtenaw County | | | |
| | No possible matching funds 0 | | | | |
| | 1 possible so | urce | | 5 | |
| | 2 possible so | urces | | 10 | |
| | 3 or more po | ssible sources | | 14 | |
| b. | Landowner Contribution. Percent of the appraised value of development rights the landowner is willing to donate. | | | | |
| | No contribut | _ | 0 | | |
| | <10% | | 5 | | |
| | 10-20% | | 8 | | |
| | >20% | | 11.5 | | |
| | | | | | |
| c. | Recreation Potential. Can or will the property provide access to public waters or | | | | |
| | · • | ect a trail corridor? s0 No | | | |

No